Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, University of Illinois at Chicago Circle, Chicago, Illinois 60680

# Crystal and Molecular Structure of Tris(triphenylphosphine)pentakis(pentafluorophenylethynyl)rhodiumdisilver

# MELVYN ROWEN CHURCHILL\* and BARRY G. DeBOER

### Received June 5, 1975

The heteronuclear trimetallic complex tris(triphenylphosphine)pentakis(pentafluorophenylethynyl)rhodiumdisilver,  $RhAg_2(C = CC_6F_5)_5(PPh_3)_3$ , has previously been prepared by the reaction of  $RhCl(PPh_3)_3$  and  $Ag(C = CC_6F_5)_5(PPh_3)_3$ . crystallizes in the centrosymmetric monoclinic space group  $P2_1/n$  [ $C_{2h}^5$ ; No. 14] with a = 15.9023 (19) Å, b = 21.4974(27) Å, c = 25.0411 (33) Å, and  $\beta = 102.00$  (1)°. The observed density of 1.627 (5) g cm<sup>-3</sup> is consistent with the value of 1.635 g cm<sup>-3</sup> calculated for V = 8373.5 (18) Å<sup>3</sup>, mol wt 2060.93, and Z = 4. No crystallographic symmetry is imposed upon the molecule. Single-crystal X-ray diffraction data, complete to  $2\theta = 40^\circ$  (Mo K $\alpha$  radiation), were collected with a Picker FACS-1 automated diffractometer and the structure was solved by conventional Patterson, Fourier, and least-squares refinement techniques. The final discrepancy indices are  $R_F = 6.20\%$  and  $R_{WF} = 6.21\%$  for the 7820 symmetry-independent reflections (none rejected). All 125 nonhydrogen atoms have been accurately located. (Two phenyl groups of a triphenylphosphine ligand are subject to disorder and have been resolved.) The molecule contains three metal atoms in a bent arrangement  $\angle$ [Ag(1)...Rh...Ag(2)] = 110.55 (2)°. The central rhodium atom is in essentially regular octahedral coordination to one PPh<sub>3</sub> ligand (Rh-P(3) = 2.3431 (18) Å) and five  $\sigma$ -acetylide ligands (Rh-C( $\alpha$ ) = 2.004 (7)-2.039 (6) Å). Each silver atom is in a tetrahedral coordination environment, being bonded to one PPh<sub>3</sub> ligand (Ag(1)-P(1) =2.3877 (19) Å, Ag(2)–P(2) = 2.3903 (19) Å) and bonded asymmetrically to three acetylenic  $\pi$  bonds, such that Ag···C( $\alpha$ ) = 2.334 (6)–2.636 (6) Å and Ag  $C(\beta)$  = 2.600 (7)–3.117 (6) Å. The octahedral and tetrahedral coordination about rhodium and silver, the nonequivalence of the angles P(1) - Ag(1) - Rh = 175.83 (6)° and P(2) - Ag(2) - Rh = 155.56 (5)°, and the long metal-metal distances [Rh···Ag(1) = 3.1021 (8) Å, Rh···Ag(2) = 3.0871 (8) Å] militate against direct metal-metal bonds and in favor of a zwitterionic association of  $[(PPh_3)Rh^{111}(C \equiv CC_6F_5)_5]^{2-}$  and  $[(PPh_3)Ag^1]^+$  held together by silver-acetylene  $\pi$  bonding.

# Introduction

We have been interested for some time in the heteronuclear metal complexes synthesized by the interaction of group 1B metal acetylides with transition metal complexes.<sup>1</sup> We have previously published the results of a structural study on Cu4Ir<sub>2</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(C=CPh)<sub>8</sub>, a molecule prepared from IrCl-(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> and [PhC=Ccu]<sub>n</sub>.<sup>1,2</sup>

The present study was undertaken in order to determine the molecular structure (especially the ligand-metal connectivity) of a species of formula  $RhAg_2(C = CC_6F_5)_5(PPh_3)_3$  which had been synthesized by the reaction of Wilkinson's catalyst,  $RhCl(PPh_3)_3$ , and  $Ag(C = CC_6F_5)$ . A report of this work (at an intermediate stage of refinement) has appeared previously.<sup>3</sup>

### **Collection of the X-Ray Diffraction Data**

A sample of RhAg<sub>2</sub>(C=CC<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>)<sub>5</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> was provided by Professor M. I. Bruce. The crystal selected for the X-ray diffraction study was a hexagonal plate between  $\{101\}$  faces 0.098 mm apart bounded (cyclically) by  $(10\overline{1})$ ,  $(1\overline{10})$ ,  $(0\overline{11})$  and  $(\overline{110})$ ,  $(1\overline{01})$ , (010), and  $(01\overline{1})$ . The plate face was of maximum dimensions 0.45 mm  $\times$  0.52 mm.

Preliminary photographic data on a number of crystals (including h(0-2)l Weissenberg photographs and a *b*-axis rotation photograph—all taken with Cu K $\alpha$  radiation; hk0 and 0kl precession photographs and come-axis photographs about *a* and *c*—taken with Mo K $\alpha$  radiation) indicated  $C_{2h}$  (2/m) Laue symmetry, provided approximate unit cell parameters, and revealed the systematic absences h0l for h + l = 2n + 1 and 0k0 for k = 2n + 1; the nonstandard centrosymmetric monoclinic space group  $P2_1/n$  is thereby indicated.

The "data crystal" was transferred to a Picker FACS-1 automated diffractometer, was accurately centered, and was aligned with  $[\bar{3}05]$  coincident with the  $\phi$  axis. Unit cell measurement and data collection were carried out as described previously,<sup>4</sup> details specific to the present analysis are listed in Table I.

As a check on the severity of the absorption problem, the strong axial reflection  $\bar{3}05$  was measured (by a  $\theta - 2\theta$  scan) at  $\chi = 90^{\circ}$  and at 10° intervals from  $\phi = 0^{\circ}$  to  $\phi = 350^{\circ}$ . The variation in intensity as a function of  $\phi$  [which we define as (maximum - minimum)/ average] was 24.5%, indicating that an absorption correction was desirable. When these " $\phi$ -scan" data were later corrected for the effects of absorption (along with the primary data set), the variation of intensity with  $\phi$  was reduced impressively to 1.7%. This confirms the validity of the absorption correction and suggests also that

\* Address correspondence to this author at the Department of Chemistry, State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, N.Y. 14214. secondary extinction is unlikely to be of any major significance.

# Solution and Refinement of the Structure

Computer programs used during the structural analysis include FORDAP (Fourier synthesis, by A. Zalkin), LSHF (structure factor calculations and least-squares refinement, by B. G. DeBoer), STANI (distances and angles, with esd's, by B. G. DeBoer), PLOD (least-squares planes and lines, by B. G. DeBoer), and ORTEP (thermal ellipsoid drawings, by C. K. Johnson). All calculations were performed on an IBM 370/158 computer.

Scattering factors for neutral silver, rhodium, phosphorus, fluorine, and carbon were taken from the compilation of Cromer and Waber;<sup>5</sup> for hydrogen, the "best floated spherical H atom" values of Stewart et al.<sup>6</sup> were used. Both the real ( $\Delta f'$ ) and imaginary ( $\Delta f''$ ) components of anomalous dispersion were included for *all* nonhydrogen atoms, using the values of Cromer and Liberman.<sup>7</sup>

The function minimized during least-squares refinement was  $\sum w(|F_0| - |F_c|)^2$ , where  $w = \sigma^{-2}(|F_0|)$ . Discrepancy indices used below are defined as

$$R_{F} = \left[\frac{\Sigma ||F_{o}| - |F_{c}||}{\Sigma |F_{o}|}\right] \times 100 \ (\%)$$
$$R_{wF} = \left[\frac{\Sigma w (|F_{o}|^{2} - |F_{c}|^{2})^{2}}{\Sigma w |F_{o}|^{2}}\right]^{1/2} \times 100 \ (\%)$$

The analysis was begun using only those 3387 reflections for which  $2\theta < 30^{\circ}$ . A three-dimensional Patterson map led, quickly and unambiguously, to the location of the three metal atoms. An "observed" Fourier synthesis provided the positions of the three phosphorus atoms; a difference-Fourier synthesis then showed the presence of all five C=CC6F5 ligands. Three cycles of full-matrix least-squares refinement of the scale factor along with the coordinates and isotropic thermal parameters of the 71 atoms constituting the RhAg2(C=CC6F5)5(P)3 moiety (285 parameters in all) led to convergence with  $R_F = 30.1\%$  and  $R_{wF} = 38.8\%$ . A second difference-Fourier synthesis now led to the location of all 54 carbon atoms associated with the three PPh3 ligands. Three "cycles" of refinement of all positional and thermal parameters, plus the scale factor (501 parameters in all), resulted in reduction of the discrepancy indices to  $R_F = 10.8\%$  and  $R_{wF} = 12.8\%$ . [It should be noted that each "cycle" consisted of two calculations-first, parameters for all atoms in the RhAg<sub>2</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> portion of the molecule were refined; second, parameters of the RhAg<sub>2</sub>(C=CC<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>)<sub>5</sub>(P)<sub>3</sub> portion were refined.]

AIC503935

# Structure of RhAg<sub>2</sub>(C=CC<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>)<sub>5</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>

**Table I.** Experimental Data for the X-Ray Diffraction Study of  $RhAg_2(C \equiv CC_6F_5)_5(PPh_3)_3$ 

(A) Crystal Parame	ters at 21.0 $\pm$ 0.2 C <sup>a</sup>
<i>a</i> = 15.9023 (19) Å	Space group $P2_1/n^b$
<i>b</i> = 21.4974 (27) Å	Z = 4
c = 25.0411 (33) Å	Mol wt 2060.93
$\cos \beta = -0.20787$ (15)	$\rho$ (calcd) = 1.635 g cm <sup>-3</sup>
$\beta = 102.00(1)$	$\rho(\text{obsd})^c = 1.627 \text{ (5) g cm}^{-3}$
$V = 8373.5 (18) \text{ Å}^3$	

(B) Measurement of Intensity Data

Radiation: Mo Ka

Filter(s): Nb foil at counter aperture (~47% transmission of Mo K $\alpha$ )

Attenuators: Cu foil; used if  $I(\text{peak}) > 10^4$  counts/sec

Takeoff angle: 3.0°

Detector aperture: 4.5 mm × 4.5 mm

Crystal-detector distance: 330 mm

Crystal orientation:  $\phi$  axis = [ $\overline{3}05$ ]

Reflection measured:  $\pm h$ , +k,  $+l^d$ 

Maximum  $2\theta$ :  $40^{\circ}$ 

Scan type: coupled  $\theta$  (crystal)-2 $\theta$  (counter)

Scan speed: 1.0°/min

- Scan length:  $\Delta(2\theta) = (0.90 + 0.692 \tan \theta)^{\circ}$ , starting 0.45° below the Mo K $\alpha$  peak
- Background measurement: stationary crystal, stationary counter; 20 sec each at beginning and end of  $2\theta$  scan
- Standard reflections: three remeasured after every 48 reflections; rms deviations (after application of an anisotropic linear decay correction)<sup>e</sup> were 1.2% for  $\overline{301}$ , 0.5% for 0,0,10, and 1.3% for 062
- Reflections collected: 7820 independent measurements, 457 duplicate or equivalent measurements<sup>d</sup> (averaged into primary data set), and 338 systematic absences

(C) Treatment of Intensity Data

- Conversion to  $|F_0|$  and  $\sigma(|F_0|)$ : as in ref 4, using an "ignorance factor" of p = 0.03
- Absorption coefficient:  $\mu = 7.95 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ; maximum and minimum transmission factors <sup>f</sup> were 0.931 and 0.724, respectively

<sup>a</sup> Unit cell parameters are from a least-squares fit to the setting angles of the Mo K $\alpha$  peaks ( $\lambda$  0.710730 A) of 12 reflections with  $2\theta = 36.0-40.7^{\circ}$ . (A takeoff angle of  $3.0^{\circ}$  was used to ensure that Mo K $\alpha_1$  and Mo K $\alpha_2$  peaks were not resolved.) Maximum and root-mean-square disagreements were 0.020 and 0.011°, respectively. <sup>b</sup> P2<sub>1</sub>/n is a nonstandard setting of space group P2<sub>1</sub>/c [C<sub>2h</sub><sup>5</sup>; No. 14] having the equipoints  $\pm(x, y, z)$  and  $\pm(^{1}/_{2} + x, ^{1}/_{2} - y, ^{1}/_{2} + z)$ . <sup>c</sup> The density was measured by neutral buoyancy in aqueous KI. <sup>d</sup> Reflections with  $2\theta \le 15^{\circ}$  were measured twice (at the beginning and at the end of the data-taking period) and averaged [ $R(F^{2}) = 2.8\%$ ]. <sup>e</sup> Data reduction and analysis, including decay correction, were performed using the Fortran IV program RDUS2, by B. G. DeBoer. <sup>f</sup> Absorption corrections were applied using the program DRABZ, by B. G. DeBoer.

At this stage data with  $30^{\circ} \le 2\theta < 40^{\circ}$  became available and were added to the primary data set, yielding 7820 independent reflections. It now became clear that refinement could only proceed at a reasonable rate if we made some drastic modifications to our approach. Accordingly, we stripped the least-squares program down to a "diagonal-elements-of-matrix-only" (DEMON) form. Four cycles of refinement of all positional parameters, anisotropic thermal parameters for Rh, Ag, P, and F atoms, isotropic thermal parameters for C atoms, and the scale factor (656 parameters in all) led to a reduction in the discrepancy indices from  $R_F = 14.05\%$  and  $R_{WF} = 13.81\%$  down to  $R_F = 8.40\%$  and  $R_{WF} = 8.23\%$ . [During the use of the DEMON program a "damping factor" was used such that (applied shift) = 0.7(calculated shift). This prevented any divergence, but some oscillation of shifts did, nevertheless, occur.]

Inspection of the thermal parameters of all atoms showed huge values for some phenyl carbon atoms. Accordingly, a third difference-Fourier map was computed (omitting contributions from atoms C(71)-C(76) and C(91)-C(96)) and it was discovered that these two phenyl groups, both attached to P(3), were subjected to disorder about their C(P bonded)-C(para) axes. Atoms C(72), C(73), C(75), C(76), C(92), C(93), C(95), and C(96) were partitioned into their "starred" and "nonstarred" components (all "starred" atoms being required to occur in one molecular rotamer—using considerations

of van der Waals contacts) and coupled occupancy factors of  $\alpha$  and  $1 - \alpha$  were applied to the two sets.

Refinement was now continued with the parameters of a total of 133 atoms or part-atoms being allowed to vary and using anisotropic parameters for all atoms other than C or H. The analysis now consisted of the refinement of 689 parameters to fit 7820 reflections. A total of eight cycles under the DEMON approximation led to a state of convergence with  $R_F = 6.32\%$  and  $R_{WF} = 6.39\%$ . During this process, hydrogen atoms were included in calculated positions, 0.95 Å<sup>8</sup> outward along the (centroid of phenyl ring)—(carbon atom) vectors, with B(H) = [B(C) + 1.0] Å<sup>2</sup>. Shifts of hydrogen atom parameters were set equal to those of their attached carbon atoms—i.e., each C-H moiety was treated as a rigid, nonrotating group.

The final phase of the refinement process involved a return to the full-matrix approach so as to compensate for any errors which might have arisen in the DEMON approximation and so that we could obtain correlation coefficients between atomic positional parameters. After repositioning hydrogen atoms according to the criteria stated above, refinement was continued in stages: (i) all thermal parameters, the scale factor, and  $\alpha$  were refined; (ii) all positional parameters in the RhAg<sub>2</sub>(C=Cc<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>)<sub>5</sub>(P)<sub>3</sub> portion of the molecule were refined; (iii) all positional parameters in the RhAg<sub>2</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> portion of the molecule were refined; (iv) steps i-iii were repeated; (v) a "clean-up" cycle was run, which included parameters for each atom which was associated with a parameter for which  $\Delta/\sigma > 0.2$  in the last cycle in which it was refined.

The resulting discrepancy indices were  $R_F = 6.201\%$  and  $R_{wF} = 6.212\%$ . The final "goodness of fit", defined by  $[\sum w(|F_0| - |F_c|)^2/(m - n)]^{1/2}$ , was 1.827. The number of reflections (m) was 7820 and the number of refined parameters (n) was 689, yielding an *m*:n ratio of 11.35:1. The function  $\sum w(|F_0| - |F_c|)^2$  was essentially independent of either  $|F_0|$  or  $(\sin \theta)/\lambda$ , thereby suggesting that the weighting scheme was reasonable. There was no evidence for secondary extinction. (This was checked by comparing  $|F_0|$  vs.  $|F_c|$  for strong low-angle reflections.)

The validity of the least-squares refinement was confirmed independently by means of a final difference-Fourier synthesis; the greatest peaks (in order) were of height 0.72 e Å<sup>-3</sup> (at 0.396, 0.226, 0.320), 0.68 e Å<sup>-3</sup> (at 0.252, 0.296, 0.290 and at 0.316, 0.262, 0.290 (all near carbon atoms of C6Fs group "E")), and 0.57 e Å<sup>-3</sup> (at 0.284, 0.034, 0.170 (between atoms C(32) and C(33))). All other peaks were below 0.51 e Å<sup>-3</sup> in height. The ten largest peaks all occur in positions which suggest anisotropy in the thermal motion of the carbon atoms. However, since anisotropic refinement of all 133 atoms would have required 1199 parameters (and would also have lowered the data:parameter ratio to an unacceptable value of 6.5:1), these features were ignored and the structural refinement was declared complete!

[Note that the DEMON program (which is dimensioned to hold 300 atoms and vary 2000 parameters) required less than half of the core storage space of the full-matrix program LSHF (dimensioned for 200 atoms and 360 variables) and required approximately 20 min/cycle as compared to 143 min/"cycle" composed of stages i, ii, and iii (vide supra) of "full-matrix" refinement.]

A table of observed and calculated structure factor amplitudes is available (see paragraph at end of paper regarding supplementary material). Final positional parameters are listed in Table II; anisotropic thermal parameters appear in Table III.

### **Results and Discussion**

Intramolecular distances and their estimated standard deviations (esd's) are collected in Table IV; interatomic angles and their esd's are listed in Table V. Least-squares planes through phenyl rings etc., along with deviations of atoms from those planes, are given in Table VI.

The central RhAg<sub>2</sub>(C=C-C)<sub>5</sub>(P)<sub>3</sub> portion of the molecule is shown in Figure 1. The overall molecular geometry is illustrated in Figure 2, while Figure 3 shows the molecule (with some carbon atoms of the P(3) triphenylphosphine ligand omitted) viewed from a direction only slightly displaced from the P(3)-Rh-C(1A) axis. It has been possible to label only key atoms in the figures; however, the remaining atom designations may be determined unambiguously. The pentafluorophenylethynyl groups are labeled such that the  $\alpha$ -carbon atoms are designated C(1x) [x = A, B, C, D, E], the  $\beta$ -carbon atoms are C(2x), and the phenyl carbon atoms are, cyclically,

**Table II.** Final Positional Parameters with Esd's<sup>a</sup> for  $RhAg_2(C = CC_6F_5)_5(PPh_3)_3$ 

Atom	x	У	z	$B, b A^2$	Atom	x	у	z	$B, {}^{\boldsymbol{b}} \mathbb{A}^2$
Rh	-0.063665 (32)	0.264704 (24)	0.091175 (21)	3.969	C(31)	-0.0227 (5)	0.0111 (3)	0.2312 (3)	6.0 (2)
Ag(1)	-0.084489 (40)	0.159439 (26)	0.172167 (24)	6.046	C(32)	0.0608 (5)	0.0248 (4)	0.2487 (3)	7.4 (2)
Ag(2)	0.045069 (37)	0.219436 (29)	0.009999 (24)	6.113	C(33)	0.1273 (6)	-0.0213 (4)	0.2539(4)	9.0 (2)
P(1)	-0.10125 (14)	0.07320 (9)	0.22914 (8)	5.671	C(34)	0.0997 (7)	-0.0781 (5)	0.2374 (4)	9.9 (3)
P(2)	0.17087 (12)	0.18003 (9)	-0.01653 (7)	5.110	C(35)	0.0187 (7)	-0.0954 (5)	0.2200 (4)	11.0 (3)
P(3)	-0.12918 (11)	0.36283 (8)	0.08394 (7)	4.354	C(36)	-0.0473 (7)	-0.0487 (4)	0.2160 (4)	9.1 (2)
C(1A)	0.0048 (4)	0.1838 (3)	0.1023 (2)	4.3 (1)	H(32)	0.0761	0.0665	0.2590	8.4
C(2A)	0.0527(4)	0.1396(3)	0.1148(3)	4.5 (1)	H(33)	0.1866	-0.0124	0.2667	10.0
C(3A)	0.1206(4)	0.0959(3)	0.1327(3)	4.5 (1)	H(34) H(25)	0.1426	0.1092	0.2393	10.9
C(4A)	0.1964(5) 0.2658(6)	0.1140(3) 0.0739(4)	0.1655(3) 0.1806(4)	5.7(2)	H(35) H(36)	0.0042	-0.1373	0.2100	12.0
C(5A)	0.2638(6)	0.0739(4)	0.1600(4)	80(2)	C(41)	-0.1004	-0.0383 0.1941 (3)	-0.0871(3)	5.3 (2)
C(7A)	0.1867(5)	-0.0072 (4)	0.1319(3)	6.9(2)	C(42)	0.2197(5)	0.1542(4)	-0.1166(3)	6.7(2)
C(8A)	0.1160(5)	0.0345(4)	0.1165(3)	6.3(2)	C(43)	0.2231(5)	0.1688(4)	-0.1705(3)	7.6 (2)
F(4A)	0.2055 (3)	0.1720 (2)	0.1846 (2)	7.98	C(44)	0.1826 (6)	0.2204 (4)	-0.1937 (4)	8.7 (2)
F(5A)	0.3398 (3)	0.0957 (3)	0.2118 (2)	12.54	C(45)	0.1408 (6)	0.2594 (5)	-0.1667 (4)	9.1 (2)
F(6A)	0.3279 (4)	-0.0235 (3)	0.1764 (3)	14.70	C(46)	0.1384 (5)	0.2468 (4)	-0.1126 (4)	7.6 (2)
F(7A)	0.1771 (4)	-0.0657 (2)	0.1163 (2)	11.91	H(42)	0.2469	0.1178	-0.0997	7.7
F(8A)	0.0439 (3)	0.0123 (2)	0.0865 (2)	9.21	H(43)	0.2528	0.1422	-0.1905	8.6
C(1B)	-0.1725 (4)	0.2203 (3)	0.0964 (3)	4.6 (1)	H(44)	0.1843	0.2296	-0.2306	9.7
C(2B)	-0.2380 (4)	0.1933 (3)	0.1018 (3)	5.2 (2)	H(45)	0.1137	0.2954	-0.1843	10.2
C(3B)	-0.3211(4)	0.1669 (3)	0.1056(3)	4.9 (1)	H(46)	0.1092	0.2741	-0.0929	8.6
C(4B)	-0.3764(5)	0.1412(3) 0.1150(4)	0.0613(3)	5.7(2)	C(51)	0.2670(4)	0.2148(3) 0.2328(3)	0.0234(3)	5.2(2)
C(5B)	-0.4331(3) -0.4773(5)	0.1150(4) 0.1163(4)	0.0033(3) 0.1144(3)	70(2)	C(52)	0.2703(3) 0.3451(5)	0.2238(3) 0.2448(4)	0.0783(3) 0.1131(4)	75(2)
C(0B)	-0.4773(3) -0.4264(5)	0.1103(4) 0 1404 (4)	0.1144(3) 0.1587(3)	7.0(2)	C(53)	0.3431(3) 0.4149(6)	0.2448(4) 0.2587(4)	0.1131(4) 0.0929(4)	7.3(2) 8 1 (2)
C(8B)	-0.4204(5) -0.3484(5)	0.1404(4) 0.1668(3)	0.1538(3)	5.8(2)	C(54)	0.4129(6)	0.2530(4)	0.0378(4)	8.2(2)
F(4B)	-0.3546(3)	0.1396(2)	0.0123(2)	7.96	C(56)	0.3383(5)	0.2301(3)	0.0036 (3)	6.7(2)
F(5B)	-0.5038(3)	0.0896 (3)	0.0209 (2)	10.29	H(52)	0.2208	0.2142	0.0926	7.3
F(6B)	-0.5536 (3)	0.0894 (3)	0.1183 (2)	11.25	H(53)	0.3476	0.2498	0.1511	8.5
F(7B)	-0.4500 (3)	0.1424 (3)	0.2071 (2)	10.53	H(54)	0.4654	0.2733	0.1169	9.1
F(8B)	-0.2984 (3)	0.1901 (2)	0.1990 (2)	8.51	H(55)	0.4620	0.2637	0.0237	9.2
C(1C)	-0.0351 (4)	0.2702 (3)	0.1739 (3)	4.4 (1)	H(56)	0.3361	0.2248	-0.0343	7.7
C(2C)	-0.0188(4)	0.2650 (3)	0.2225 (3)	4.8 (1)	C(61)	0.1915(4)	0.0975(3)	-0.0079 (3)	4.7 (1)
C(3C)	0.0042(4)	0.2641(3)	0.2814(3)	4.9(1)	C(62)	0.1250(5) 0.1374(6)	0.0568(4)	-0.0241(3)	6.9(2)
C(4C)	-0.0327(5)	0.3049(4) 0.3047(4)	0.3125(3) 0.3692(4)	79(2)	C(63)	0.1374(0) 0.2167(5)	-0.0077(4)	-0.0207(3)	7, 2, (2)
	-0.0122(6)	0.3047(4) 0.2628(4)	0.3092(4)	7.9(2) 74(2)	C(65)	0.2107(3) 0.2843(5)	-0.0306(4)	0.0003(3)	69(2)
C(7C)	0.0412(0) 0.0797(5)	0.2028(4) 0.2208(4)	0.3683(4)	7.3(2)	C(66)	0.2715(5)	0.0732(4)	0.0144(3)	6.2(2)
C(8C)	0.0606(5)	0.2218(4)	0.3106(3)	6.3(2)	H(62)	0.0696	0.0734	-0.0386	7.9
F(4C)	-0.0884(4)	0.3475(2)	0.2869(2)	10.12	H(63)	0.0912	0.0358	-0.0328	9.1
F(5C)	-0.0520(5)	0.3460 (3)	0.3971 (2)	13.12	H(64)	0.2253	-0.0743	0.0032	8.2
F(6C)	0.0592 (5)	0.2589 (3)	0.4507 (2)	12.75	H(65)	0.3394	-0.0086	0.0329	7.9
F(7C)	0.1349 (4)	0.1781 (3)	0.3931 (2)	11.35	H(66)	0.3179	0.1011	0.0266	7.2
F(8C)	0.0984 (3)	0.1804 (2)	0.2833 (2)	8.60	C(71)	-0.2340 (4)	0.3666 (3)	0.1024 (3)	5.1 (2)
C(1D)	0.0443 (4)	0.3089 (3)	0.0819 (2)	4.5 (1)	C(72*) <sup>c</sup>	-0.3035 (9)	0.4025 (7)	0.0667 (6)	7.1 (4)
C(2D)	0.1063 (4)	0.3353 (3)	0.0718 (3)	5.3 (2)	$C(72)^{c}$	-0.3003 (11)	0.3318 (8)	0.0739(7)	6.4 (5)
C(3D)	0.1788 (4)	0.3696(3)	0.0602(3)	4.8(1)	$C(73^{+})^{\circ}$	-0.3847(11)	0.4084(8)	0.0845(7)	8.9 (5)
C(4D)	0.2473(3)	0.3857(4)	0.1002(3)	0.3(2)	C(74)	-0.3807(13)	0.3323(9) 0.3769(5)	0.0007(0) 0.1351(4)	10.2(3)
C(6D)	0.3190(0)	0.4105(4) 0.4316(4)	0.0357(4)	7.9(2) 7.2(2)	$C(75*)^{\circ}$	-0.3892(7) -0.3273(11)	0.3709(3)	0.1551(4) 0.1652(7)	72(5)
C(7D)	0.3191(3) 0.2527(5)	0.4156(3)	-0.0050(3)	6.1(2)	C(75)	-0.3140(13)	0.3848(10)	0.1738(8)	7.2(3)
C(8D)	0.1839(4)	0.3846 (3)	0.0077(3)	5.1(2)	C(76*) <sup>c</sup>	-0.2474(9)	0.3453 (8)	0.1482(6)	6.0 (3)
F(4D)	0.2482 (3)	0.3731 (3)	0.1523 (2)	10.81	C(76)ć	-0.2369 (11)	0.3845 (9)	0.1557(7)	5.8 (4)
F(5D)	0.3864 (4)	0.4311 (4)	0.1277 (2)	14.79	H(72*) <sup>c</sup>	-0.2960	0.4205	0.0333	8.1
F(6D)	0.3892 (3)	0.4605 (3)	0.0238 (2)	11.72	H(72) <sup>c</sup>	-0.2943	0.3109	0.0415	7.4
F(7D)	0.2558 (3)	0.4285 (2)	-0.0571 (2)	9.19	H(73*) <sup>c</sup>	-0.4313	0.4307	0.0630	9.9
F(8D)	0.1196 (3)	0.3702 (2)	-0.0340 (2)	8.08	H(73) <sup>c</sup>	-0.4291	0.3123	0.0668	9.2
C(1E)	-0.0952 (4)	0.2516 (3)	0.0097 (3)	4.5 (1)	H(74)	-0.4414	0.3783	0.1479	11.2
C(2E)	-0.1120(4)	0.2444 (3)	-0.0391 (3)	5.2 (2)	H(75*)°	-0.3374	0.3369	0.1985	8.2
C(3E)	-0.1482(5)	0.2378(3)	-0.0973(3)	5.9(2)	$H(75)^{\circ}$	-0.3172	0.3986	0.2093	8.0
C(4E)	-0.1106(7)	0.2679(5)	-0.1339(4)	8.2(2)	H(76)°	-0.2001	0.3240	0.1700	7.0
C(SE)	-0.1478(7)	0.2399(3) 0.2399(4)	-0.1938(4) -0.2062(4)	8.9(2) 84(2)	C(81)	-0.1864	0.3335 0.4245(3)	0.1794 0.1266 (3)	48(1)
C(7E)	-0.2537 (6)	0.1946(5)	-0.1735(4)	7.9 (2)	C(82)	-0.1083(5)	0.4834(4)	0.1225 (3)	7.3 (2)
C(8E)	-0.2176 (6)	0.2028 (4)	-0.1164 (4)	6.6 (2)	C(83)	-0.0677 (6)	0.5325 (5)	0.1540 (4)	8.8 (2)
F(4E)	-0.0443 (4)	0.3052 (3)	-0.1204 (2)	11.64	C(84)	0.0079 (6)	0.5221 (4)	0.1900 (3)	7.8 (2)
F(5E)	-0.1049 (6)	0.2906 (4)	-0.2275 (3)	17.04	C(85)	0.0452 (5)	0.4649 (4)	0.1951 (3)	7.1 (2)
F(6E)	-0.2446 (6)	0.2161 (3)	-0.2618 (2)	17.39	C(86)	0.0053(4)	0.4158 (3)	0.1630 (3)	5.6 (2)
F(7E)	-0.3206 (4)	0.1587 (3)	-0.1883 (3)	13.46	H(82)	-0.1614	0.4899	0.0975	8.3
F(8E)	-0.2579 (3)	0.1737(2)	-0.0821(2)	8.25	H(83)	-0.0926	0.5729	0.1510	9.8
C(11)	-0.2037(5)	0.0361 (3)	0.2079 (3)	5.7(2)	H(84)	0.0352	0.5554	0.2118	0.0 8 1
C(12)	-0.2300 (3)	-0.0202(4)	0.1326(3) 0.1334(4)	7.9(2)	H(86)	0.0309	0.3756	0.2203	6.6
C(13)	-0.3662(7)	-0.0191(4)	0.1686(4)	9.4 (2)	C(91)	-0.1442(4)	0.3970 (3)	0.0156 (3)	4.9 (1)
C(15)	-0.3362(7)	-0.0088 (5)	0.2236 (4)	10.0 (3)	C(92*)°	-0.0808(9)	0.4418(7)	0.0071(6)	5.8 (3)
C(16)	-0.2554(5)	0.0166(4)	0.2435 (4)	7.8 (2)	C(92)¢	-0.0827(11)	0.4033 (8)	-0.0106 (7)	5.4(4)

# Structure of RhAg2(C=CC6F5)5(PPh3)3

Table II (Cont	inued)
----------------	--------

									- <b>b</b>
Atom	x	У	z	$B, {}^{o} A^{2}$	Atom	x	У	Z	<i>B</i> , <sup><i>o</i></sup> Å <sup>2</sup>
H(12)	-0.2025	0.0388	0.1277	7.7	C(93*) <sup>c</sup>	-0.0844 (10)	0.4653 (9)	-0.0444 (7)	7.5 (4)
H(13)	-0.3329	-0.0078	0.0953	8.9	C(93) <sup>c</sup>	-0.0919(13)	0.4314 (9)	-0.0635 (8)	6.4 (5)
H(14)	-0.4208	-0.0375	0.1553	10.4	C(94)	-0.1593 (6)	0.4470 (4)	-0.0867 (4)	8.4 (2)
H(15)	-0.3714	-0.0208	0.2482	11.0	C(95*) <sup>c</sup>	-0.2103 (10)	0.4028 (8)	-0.0814(7)	7.5 (4)
H(16)	-0.2347	0.0224	0.2816	8.8	C(95)¢	-0.2358(13)	0.4397 (9)	-0.0637 (8)	7.0 (5)
C(21)	-0.0952(4)	0.0935 (3)	0.2999 (3)	5.5 (2)	C(96*) <sup>c</sup>	-0.2032(8)	0.3779 (7)	-0.0269 (5)	5.6 (3)
C(22)	-0.1368 (5)	0.1469 (4)	0.3119 (3)	6.5 (2)	C(96) <sup>c</sup>	-0.2306(11)	0.4142 (8)	-0.0111(6)	5.5 (4)
C(23)	-0.1338 (5)	0.1641 (4)	0.3655 (3)	7.0 (2)	H(92*) <sup>c</sup>	-0.0364	0.4554	0.0363	6.8
C(24)	-0.0888(5)	0.1314 (4)	0.4070 (4)	7.8 (2)	H(92)	-0.0278	0.3898	0.0087	6.4
C(25)	-0.0439 (6)	0.0778 (4)	0.3974 (4)	8.7 (2)	H(93*) <sup>c</sup>	-0.0420	0.4943	-0.0500	8.5
C(26)	-0.0500(5)	0.0591 (4)	0.3433 (3)	7.5 (2)	H(93)¢	-0.0468	0.4381	-0.0824	7.4
H(22)	-0.1681	0.1710	0.2826	7.5	H(94)	-0.1684	0.4646	-0.1223	9.4
H(23)	-0.1633	0.2003	0.3734	8.0	H(95*)°	-0.2523	0.3898	-0.1121	8.5
H(24)	-0.0869	0.1445	0.4435	8.8	H(95)	-0.2911	0.4521	-0.0830	8.0
H(25)	-0.0116	0.0544	0.4269	9.7	H(96*)°	-0.2425	0.3470	-0.0203	6.6
H(26)	-0.0214	0.0223	0.3358	8.5	H(96)¢	-0.2782	0.4086	0.0059	6.5

<sup>a</sup> Esd's, shown in parentheses, are right adjusted to the last digit of the preceding number. They are derived from the inverse of the leastsquares matrix from the last cycle in which that particular parameter was varied. <sup>b</sup> For anisotropic thermal parameters of the heavier atoms (i.e., Rh, Ag, P, F), see Table III. <sup>c</sup> Two phenyl rings are subject to disorder about their C(P bonded) · · ·C(para) axes. Resulting occupancies: 0.5546 (79) for atoms C(72\*), C(73\*), C(75\*), C(76\*), H(72\*), H(73\*), H(75\*), H(76\*), C(92\*), C(93\*), C(95\*), C(96\*), H(92\*), H(93\*), H(95\*), H(96\*); 0.4454 (79) for the corresponding nonstarred atoms.



Figure 1.  $RhAg_2(C=C-C)_5(P)_3$  "core" of the molecule, showing the octahedral coordination about the rhodium atom and the tetrahedral coordination about the silver atoms [ORTEP diagram, 50% ellipsoids].



**Figure 2.** Entire  $RhAg_2(C \equiv CC_6F_5)_5(PPh_3)_3$  molecule [ORTEP diagram, 30% vibration ellipsoids for Rh, Ag, P, F, and alkynyl C atoms, with phenyl C atoms artificially reduced to spheres of radius 0.07 Å]. The six heavy atoms have been given a harle-quinesque appearance so as to show clearly.

C(3x) through C(8x); fluorine atoms are numbered identically with their attached carbon atoms. Phenyl groups of the triphenylphosphine ligands are labeled cyclically as C(10n + 1)through C(10n + 6) (n = 1-9), where atom C(10n + 1)



Figure 3.  $RhAg_2(C \equiv CC_6F_5)_5(PPh_3)_3$  molecule viewed from a direction slightly displaced from its pseudo- $C_4$  axis. Only the  $\alpha$ -carbon atoms attached to P(3) are shown [ORTEP diagram, conditions as for Figure 2].

is linked to a phosphorus atom.

The three metal atoms are present in a bent arrangement such that  $\angle [Ag(1) \dots Rh \dots Ag(2)]$  is 110.55 (2)°. The central rhodium atom is bonded to one PPh<sub>3</sub> ligand and to five  $\sigma$ -(C=CC<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>) ligands. Each silver atom is linked to one PPh<sub>3</sub> ligand and participates in unsymmetrical  $\pi$  linkages [i.e.,  $d(Ag-C(1x)) \neq d(Ag-C(2x))]$  to three acetylenic residues.

The metal atoms appear to be present in their most common oxidation states (i.e., Rh(III) and Ag(I)), there being no direct metal-metal bonds. Evidence favoring this description (rather than the alternative structure with metal-metal bonds, which would be based on Rh(V) and Ag(0)) is as follows.

(i) The rhodium-silver distances, Rh. Ag(1) = 3.1021 (8) Å and Rh. Ag(2) = 3.0871 (8) Å, are considerably longer than might be expected for rhodium-silver single bonds [ca. 2.790 Å, based upon (Rh-Rh)<sub>min</sub> = 2.690 Å and (Ag-Ag)<sub>min</sub> = 2.889 Å in the pure metals].<sup>9</sup>

(ii) In the absence of metal-metal bonding, the Rh(III) atom has its expected regular octahedral coordination geometry and the Ag(I) atoms each have a typical tetrahedral coordination geometry. This seems very reasonable.

(iii) If metal-metal bonding is assumed to be present, then the metal atoms each have both unusual oxidation states

**Table III.** Anisotropic Thermal Parameters<sup>*a*,*b*</sup> for the Heavier Atoms in  $RhAg_2(C \equiv CC_6F_5)_5(PPh_3)_3$ 

Atom	B <sub>11</sub>	B 2 2	B <sub>33</sub>	B <sub>12</sub>	B <sub>13</sub>	B 2 3	$\langle U \rangle^{c}$	
 Rh	3.79 (3)	4.00 (3)	4.16 (3)	-0.07 (2)	0.91 (2)	0.57 (2)	0.208, 0.220, 0.243	
Ag(1)	7.28 (4)	4.89 (3)	6.45 (3)	-0.05 (3)	2.55 (3)	1.77 (2)	0.208, 0.288, 0.322	
Ag(2)	5.36 (3)	7.08 (4)	6.26 (3)	1.39 (3)	2.04 (3)	0.30 (3)	0.230, 0.280, 0.318	
P(1)	8.01 (13)	4.31 (10)	5.55 (11)	0.73 (9)	3.36 (10)	0.99 (8)	0.211, 0.239, 0.337	
P(2)	5.30 (10)	5.59 (10)	4.66 (10)	0.64 (8)	1.53 (8)	0.10 (8)	0.230, 0.252, 0.279	
P(3)	4.21 (9)	4.09 (9)	4.70 (9)	-0.22 (7)	0.78 (7)	0.38 (7)	0.220, 0.231, 0.252	
F(4A)	8.7 (3)	7.8 (3)	6.8 (2)	-1.1 (2)	0.1 (2)	-1.1 (2)	0.26, 0.33, 0.36	
F(5A)	7.1 (3)	16.6 (5)	12.0 (4)	0.7 (3)	-2.2 (3)	-0.6 (4)	0.26, 0.44, 0.47	
F(6A)	13.6 (5)	16.6 (5)	13.0 (4)	10.5 (4)	0.8 (4)	3.4 (4)	0.22, 0.43, 0.57	
F(7A)	20.0 (6)	4.7 (3)	11.2 (4)	3.1 (3)	3.6 (4)	-0.1 (2)	0.23, 0.37, 0.51	
F(8A)	9.2 (3)	6.0 (2)	11.2 (3)	-1.4 (2)	-0.8 (3)	-0.7 (2)	0.25, 0.33, 0.42	
F(4B)	7.5 (3)	9.4 (3)	6.9 (2)	0.1 (2)	1.2 (2)	0.5 (2)	0.29, 0.31, 0.35	
F(5B)	7.2 (3)	12.7 (4)	9.9 (3)	-2.4 (3)	-0.7 (2)	-1.5 (3)	0.26, 0.39, 0.42	
F(6B)	5.7 (3)	13.6 (4)	15.2 (4)	-3.5 (3)	3.8 (3)	0.7 (3)	0.21, 0.43, 0.44	
F(7B)	9.4 (3)	14.2 (4)	9.7 (3)	-1.4 (3)	5.8 (3)	-0.4 (3)	0.24, 0.39, 0.43	
F(8B)	8.2 (3)	10.1 (3)	7.7 (3)	-1.4 (2)	2.7 (2)	-2.4 (2)	0.28, 0.31, 0.39	
F(4C)	13.0 (4)	8.7 (3)	9.3 (3)	4.5 (3)	3.7 (3)	-0.4 (2)	0.25, 0.35, 0.45	
F(5C)	18.3 (6)	13.1 (4)	9.1 (3)	1.7 (4)	5.6 (4)	-4.0 (3)	0.25, 0.44, 0.49	
F(6C)	17.5 (5)	15.8 (5)	4.4 (2)	-5.7(4)	1.0 (3)	-0.7 (3)	0.23, 0.38, 0.54	
F(7C)	11.9 (4)	11.6 (4)	8.7 (3)	1.8 (3)	-2.2 (3)	3.2 (3)	0.24, 0.41, 0.45	
F(8C)	9.3 (3)	8.3 (3)	7.9 (3)	3.4 (2)	1.0 (2)	0.1 (2)	0.26, 0.32, 0.40	
F(4D)	9.7 (3)	16.3 (5)	6.1 (3)	-4.9 (3)	0.8 (2)	0.9 (3)	0.28, 0.30, 0.50	
F(5D)	9.6 (4)	24.5 (7)	9.6 (4)	-9.1 (4)	0.4 (3)	-2.5 (4)	0.24, 0.37, 0.60	
F(6D)	8.1 (3)	14.2 (4)	13.9 (4)	-5.0 (3)	4.8 (3)	1.4 (3)	0.21, 0.43, 0.47	
F(7D)	8.3 (3)	11.7 (3)	8.5 (3)	1.3 (3)	3.8 (2)	3.7 (3)	0.25, 0.32, 0.43	
F(8D)	7.0 (3)	10.6 (3)	6.5 (2)	-1.0 (2)	1.0 (2)	0.6 (2)	0.28, 0.29, 0.37	
F(4E)	11.2 (4)	14.5 (5)	10.2 (4)	1.4 (4)	4.3 (3)	4.8 (3)	0.28, 0.37, 0.48	
F(5E)	23.0 (8)	20.9 (7)	9.2 (4)	7.4 (6)	7.8 (5)	7.3 (4)	0.25, 0.44, 0.63	
F(6E)	30.1 (9)	14.7 (5)	5.0 (3)	10.8 (6)	-1.9 (4)	-1.2 (3)	0.24, 0.34, 0.70	
F(7E)	14.6 (5)	9.0 (4)	13.1 (5)	3.6 (3)	-5.7 (4)	-3.7 (3)	0.29, 0.30, 0.58	
F(8E)	7.6 (3)	7.0 (3)	9.4 (3)	0.3 (2)	0.0 (2)	-1.0 (2)	0.29, 0.30, 0.38	

<sup>a</sup> These anisotropic thermal parameters are analogous to the usual form of the isotropic thermal parameter and have units of  $\mathbb{A}^2$ . They enter the expression for the structure factor in the form:  $\exp[-0.25(B_{11}h^2a^{*2} + B_{22}k^2b^{*2} + B_{33}l^2c^{*2} + 2B_{12}hka^*b^* + 2B_{13}hla^*c^* + 2B_{23}klb^*c^*)]$ . <sup>b</sup> See footnote *a* to Table II. <sup>c</sup> These values correspond to the root-mean-square amplitudes of vibration (in A) of the atom along the three principal axes (minor, median, major, respectively) of its vibration ellipsoid. For relative orientations, see the figures.

[Rh(V) and Ag(0)] and unusual coordination geometries [cis bicapped octahedral for Rh(V) and monocapped tetrahedral, which could also be described as very distorted trigonal bipyramidal, for Ag(0)]. This seems unreasonable.

(iv) The angles P(1)-Ag(1)-...Rh and P(2)-Ag(2)-...Rh have values of 175.83 (6) and 155.56 (5)°. (This can be seen clearly in Figure 3.) If there were direct rhodium-silver linkages, one would expect these angles to be equivalent.

It seems, therefore, that the RhAg<sub>2</sub>(C $\equiv$ CC<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>)<sub>5</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> molecule is zwitterionic and consists of [(PPh<sub>3</sub>)Rh<sup>III</sup>(C $\equiv$ CC<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>)<sub>5</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> and [(PPh<sub>3</sub>)Ag<sup>I</sup>]<sup>+</sup> ions, which are held together only by silver-acetylene  $\pi$  bonding.

The two independent silver-phosphorus bond lengths are Ag(1)-P(1) = 2.3877 (19) Å and Ag(2)-P(2) = 2.3903 (19) Å. These distances are each considerably shorter than the sum of the appropriate covalent radii (r(P) = 1.10 Å and r(Ag) = 1.52 Å,<sup>10</sup> suggesting Ag-P = 2.62 Å), presumably due to  $d_{\pi}-d_{\pi}$  M $\rightarrow$ P back-donation, and are at the low end of the range found previously for Ag(I)-P(sp<sup>3</sup>) linkages—cf. Ag-P = 2.490 (4) Å in [Ag(PMe\_3)(C=CPh)]\_{\infty},<sup>11</sup> 2.465 (4)-2.492 (3) Å in [Ag(PPh\_3)2]\_3Al(O\_2C\_2S\_2)\_3,<sup>12</sup> 2.455 (2)-2.480 (2) Å in [Ag(PPh\_3)2]\_3Fe(O\_2C\_2S\_2)\_3,<sup>12</sup> 2.455 (5)-2.466 (5) Å in [Ag(PPh\_3)I]\_4,<sup>13</sup> 2.438 (2) Å in [Ag(PEt\_3)I]\_4,<sup>14</sup> 2.411 (3)-2.412 (3) Å in [Ag(PPh\_3)C]\_2NO\_3]\_2,<sup>15</sup> and 2.372 (3)-2.386 (3) Å in [Ag(PPh\_3)C]\_4.

The rhodium-phosphorus distance Rh-P(3) = 2.3431 (18) Å is again contracted from the expected single-bond distance (2.42 Å, based upon covalent radii of 1.32 Å for Rh(III),<sup>16</sup> and 1.10 Å for P(sp<sup>3</sup>)<sup>10</sup>) and is similar to values found for other Rh(III)-P(sp<sup>3</sup>) linkages—i.e., 2.350 (4) Å in RhI<sub>2</sub>Me(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub><sup>17</sup> and 2.332 (4)-2.344 (4) Å in RhHCl(SiCl<sub>3</sub>)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·xSiHCl<sub>3</sub>.<sup>18</sup>

For the purpose of subsequent discussion it is covenient now to define two symbols. The first,  $\sigma(\text{ext})$ , is an *external* estimate of the esd of any one individual measurement and is defined by eq 1, where  $\chi_i$  is the *i*th of N "equivalent" measurements

$$\sigma(\text{ext}) = \left[ \Sigma(\chi_i - \overline{\chi})^2 / (N - 1) \right]^{1/2} \tag{1}$$

and  $\tilde{\chi}$  is the average of the N measurements. The second,  $\sigma(\text{aver})$ , is an external estimate of the esd associated with the averaged value and is defined in eq 2. The former defines

$$\sigma(\text{aver}) = \left[ \sum (\chi_i - \chi)^2 / N(N-1) \right]^{1/2}$$
(2)

the width of the (presumed) gaussian distribution of independent values while the latter defines the precision with which the center of that distribution is known. The two quantities are, of course, related by  $\sigma(aver) = N^{-1/2}\sigma(ext)$ .

The five rhodium-carbon  $\sigma$ -bond lengths are Rh-C(1A) = 2.039 (6) Å, Rh-C(1B) = 2.004 (7) Å, Rh-C(1C) = 2.030 (7) Å, Rh-C(1D) = 2.019 (6) Å, and Rh-C(1E) = 2.017 (7) Å. The average value is 2.022 Å ( $\sigma(ext) = 0.013$  Å,  $\sigma(aver) = 0.006$  Å) and is indistinguishable from the distance predicted as the sum of the appropriate covalent radii (2.01 Å, based on r(Rh(III)) = 1.32 Å<sup>16</sup> and r(C(sp)) = 0.69 Å<sup>19</sup>). Note that the rhodium atom has idealized local symmetry of C4v. The Rh-C(1A) bond is thus group theoretically not equivalent to the other four Rh-C  $\sigma$  linkages. However, the axial Rh-C(1A) distance of 2.039 (6) Å is only 0.021 Å (i.e., ca. 2.5  $\sigma$ ) longer than the average value of 2.018 Å ( $\sigma(ext) = 0.011$  Å,  $\sigma(aver) = 0.006$  Å) for the four equatorial Rh-C  $\sigma$  bonds.

The rhodium atom is in a fairly regular octahedral coordination environment with angles between mutually cis ligands ranging from 84.9 (2) to 94.3 (3)° and angles between mutually trans ligands ranging from 173.8 (2) to 177.1 (3)°.

The silver-acetylene  $\pi$  linkages are highly asymmetric and interatomic distances vary widely with Ag-C(1x) = 2.334 (6)-2.636 (6) Å and Ag-C(2x) = 2.600 (7)-3.117 (6) Å. Even the silver-(midpoint of acetylene) distances show considerable variation, with Ag(1)-M(A)<sup>20</sup> = 2.642 Å,

# Structure of RhAg2(C=CC6F5)5(PPh3)3

Table IV.	Interatomic Distances	(Å) with Esd's <sup>a</sup>	for $RhAg_2(C \equiv CC_6F_5)_5(PPh_3)_3$
-----------	-----------------------	-----------------------------	---

				3, 3, 3, 3, 3			
Atoms	Dist	Atoms	Dist	Atoms	Dist	Atoms	Dist
$\frac{Rh\cdots Ag(1)}{Rh\cdots Ag(2)}$	(A) Metal-Met 3.1021 (8) 3.0871 (8)	al Distances Ag(1) $\cdots$ Ag(2)	5.0870 (12)	C(3A)-C(4A) C(4A)-C(5A)	(I) C-C C <sub>6</sub> F <sub>5</sub> 1.369 (9) 1.397 (11)	Distances C(6A)-C(7A) C(7A)-C(8A)	1.321 (13) 1.426 (12)
Rh-P(3) Ag(1)-P(1)	<ul> <li>(B) Metal-Phosph</li> <li>2.3431 (18)</li> <li>2.3877 (19)</li> </ul>	norus Distances Ag(2)-P(2)	2.3903 (19)	C(3B)-C(6A) C(3B)-C(4B) C(4B)-C(5B) C(5B)-C(6B)	1.335 (11) 1.379 (9) 1.396 (10) 1.343 (10)	C(8A)-C(3A) C(6B)-C(7B) C(7B)-C(8B) C(8B)-C(3B)	1.379 (11) 1.336 (10) 1.393 (10) 1.364 (9)
Rh-C(1A) Rh-C(1B) Rh-C(1C)	(C) Rhodium-Ca 2.039 (6) 2.004 (7) 2.030 (7)	rbon Distances Rh-C(1D) Rh-C(1E)	2.019 (6) 2.017 (7)	C(3C)-C(4C) C(4C)-C(5C) C(5C)-C(6C)	1.381 (9) 1.388 (10) 1.312 (10)	C(6C)-C(7C) C(7C)-C(8C) C(8C)-C(3C)	1.342 (11) 1.413 (10) 1.375 (9)
Ag(1)-C(1A) Ag(1)-C(2A)	(D) Silver-Carb 2.528 (6) 2.882 (6)	on Distances Ag(2)-C(1A) Ag(2)-C(2A)	2.636 (6) 3.117 (6)	C(3D)-C(4D) C(4D)-C(5D) C(5D)-C(6D) C(3E)-C(4E)	1.361 (9) 1.418 (10) 1.349 (10) 1.396 (12)	C(6D)-C(7D) C(7D)-C(8D) C(8D)-C(3D) C(6E)-C(7E)	1.351 (9) 1.374 (9) 1.372 (9) 1.326 (12)
$\begin{array}{l} Ag(1)-C(1B) \\ Ag(1)-C(2B) \end{array}$	2.484 (6) 2.794 (7)	Ag(2)-C(1D) Ag(2)-C(2D)	2.636 (6) 2.986 (7)	C(4E)-C(5E) C(5E)-C(6E)	1.457 (12) 1.255 (11)	C(7E)-C(8E) C(8E)-C(3E)	1.438 (11) 1.339 (10)
Ag(1)-C(1C) Ag(1)-C(2C) $Ag(1)-M(A)^{b}$ $Ag(1)-M(B)^{b}$	2.505 (6) 2.698 (7) 2.642 2.572	Ag(2)-C(1E) Ag(2)-C(2E) $Ag(2)-M(A)^{b}$ $Ag(2)-M(D)^{b}$ $Ag(2)-M(D)^{b}$	2.334 (6) 2.600 (7) 2.822 2.751	C(11)-C(12) C(12)-C(13) C(13)-C(14)	(J) C-C C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> 1.388 (9) 1.353 (10) 1.390 (11)	Distances C(14)-C(15) C(15)-C(16) C(16)-C(11)	1.380 (12) 1.388 (11) 1.396 (10)
Ag(1)-M(C) <sup>o</sup> (P(1)-C(11)	2.534 E) Phosphorus-C 1.793 (8)	Ag(2)-M(E) arbon Distances P(2)-C(61)	1.809 (7)	C(21)-C(22) C(22)-C(23) C(23)-C(24)	1.389 (9) 1.381 (9) 1.335 (10)	C(24)-C(25) C(25)-C(26) C(26)-C(21)	1.403 (12) 1.397 (10) 1.386 (10)
P(1)-C(21) P(1)-C(31) P(2)-C(41) P(2)-C(51)	1.808 (7) 1.822 (8) 1.814 (7) 1.806 (7)	P(3)-C(71) P(3)-C(81) P(3)-C(91)	1.821 (7) 1.824 (7) 1.832 (7)	C(31)-C(32) C(32)-C(33) C(33)-C(34)	1.341 (9) 1.435 (11) 1.334 (12)	C(34)-C(35) C(35)-C(36) C(36)-C(31)	1.325 (12) 1.441 (13) 1.374 (10)
C(4A)-F(4A) C(5A)-F(5A) C(6A)-F(6A)	(F) Carbon-Fluc 1.322 (8) 1.354 (10) 1.377 (10)	orine Distances C(7C)-F(7C) C(8C)-F(8C) C(4D)-F(4D)	1.331 (9) 1.339 (8) 1.330 (8)	C(41)-C(42) C(42)-C(43) C(43)-C(44) C(51)-C(52) C(52)-C(52)	1.401 (10) 1.397 (10) 1.352 (11) 1.384 (9) 1.292 (10)	C(44)-C(45) C(45)-C(46) C(46)-C(41) C(54)-C(55) C(55)-C(56)	1.337 (12) 1.389 (11) 1.377 (10) 1.380 (11) 1.399 (10)
C(7A)-F(7A) C(8A)-F(8A) C(4B)-F(4B) C(5B)-F(5B)	1.317 (10) 1.323 (9) 1.344 (8) 1.336 (8)	C(5D)-F(5D) C(6D)-F(6D) C(7D)-F(7D) C(8D)-F(8D)	1.328 (9) 1.361 (9) 1.344 (8) 1.336 (7)	C(52)-C(53) C(53)-C(54) C(61)-C(62) C(62)-C(63) C(63)-C(64)	1.393 (10) 1.344 (11) 1.368 (9) 1.400 (10) 1.356 (10)	C(55)-C(51) C(56)-C(51) C(64)-C(65) C(65)-C(66) C(66)-C(61)	1.368 (9) 1.360 (10) 1.410 (10) 1.381 (9)
C(8B)-F(8B) C(7B)-F(7B) C(8B)-F(8B) C(4C)-F(4C) C(5C)-F(5C)	1.367(9) 1.340(9) 1.337(8) 1.341(8) 1.365(9)	C(4E) - F(4E) C(5E) - F(5E) C(6E) - F(6E) C(7E) - F(7E) C(8E) - F(8E)	1.317 (10) 1.362 (11) 1.395 (11) 1.304 (10) 1.330 (8)	C(71)-C(72) C(72)-C(73) C(73)-C(74)	1.367 (18) 1.403 (24) 1.536 (21)	C(74)-C(75) C(75)-C(76) C(76)-C(71)	1.384 (23) 1.392 (24) 1.400 (18)
C(6C)-F(6C)	1.372 (9)	C=C Distances	11000 (0)	C(71)-C(72*) C(72*)-C(73*) C(73*)-C(74)	1.484 (15) 1.458 (20) 1.451 (17)	C(74)-C(75*) C(75*)-C(76*) C(76*)-C(71)	1.217 (18) 1.434 (21) 1.293 (15)
C(1A)-C(2A) C(1B)-C(2B) C(1C)-C(2C)	1.218 (8) 1.225 (8) 1.196 (8)	C(1D)-C(2D) C(1E)-C(2E)	1.206 (8) 1.204 (8)	C(81)-C(82) C(82)-C(83) C(83)-C(84)	1.389 (10) 1.395 (11) 1.363 (10)	C(84)-C(85) C(85)-C(86) C(86)-C(81)	1.358 (10) 1.397 (10) 1.379 (9)
C(2A)-C(3A) C(2B)-C(3B) C(2C)-C(3C)	(H) C(sp)-C(s 1.430 (9) 1.460 (9)	p <sup>2</sup> ) Distances C(2D)-C(3D) C(2E)-C(3E)	1.450 (10) 1.460 (9)	C(91)-C(92) C(92)-C(93) C(93)-C(94)	1.292 (17) 1.435 (22) 1.159 (18)	C(94)-C(95) C(95)-C(96) C(96)-C(91)	1.460 (22) 1.412 (23) 1.446 (18)
(20)-0(30)	1.444 (9)			C(91)-C(92*) C(92*)-C(93*) C(93*)-C(94)	1.440 (15) 1.375 (18) 1.473 (20)	C(94)-C(95*) C(95*)-C(96*) C(96*)-C(91)	1.275 (16) 1.446 (19) 1.330 (14)
				(K) Dist $C(72) \cdot \cdot \cdot C(72^*)$ $C(73) \cdot \cdot \cdot C(73^*)$	ances between 1.53 (2) 1.64 (2)	Disordered Position $C(75) \cdot \cdot \cdot C(75^*)$ $C(76) \cdot \cdot \cdot C(76^*)$	0.73(2) 0.87(2)
				$C(92) \cdot \cdot C(92^*)$ $C(93) \cdot \cdot C(93^*)$	0.94 (2) 0.86 (2)	C(95)· · ·C(95*) C(96)· · ·C(96*)	1.03 (2) 1.01 (2)

<sup>a</sup> Esd's, shown in parentheses, are right adjusted to the last digit of the preceding number. Their calculation, using the Fortran IV program **STAN1** by B. G. DeBoer, included the effects of uncertainties in unit cell parameters, as well as all correlation coefficients of magnitude greater than 0.075. No corrections have been applied for the effects of thermal motion. <sup>b</sup> M(A) is the midpoint of the acetylenic linkage C(1A)-C(2A), M(B) is the midpoint of C(2A)-C(2B), etc. <sup>c</sup> Minimum distances of these positions to C(82) and C(86) are  $C(82) \cdots C(76) = 3.18$  (2) Å and  $C(82) \cdots C(92^*) = 3.14$  (2) Å. All others are >3.5 Å.

C(72\*)· · · C(96)

C(72\*)· · ·C(96\*)

2.48 (2)

3.14 (2)

Ag(1)-M(B) = 2.572 Å, Ag(1)-M(C) = 2.534 Å, Ag(2)-M(A) = 2.822 Å, Ag(2)-M(D) = 2.751 Å, and Ag(2)-M(E) = 2.396 Å.

Atom Ag(1) is in a fairly regular (but trigonally distorted) tetrahedral coordination environment with P(1)-Ag(1)-M(x) angles ranging from 123.34 to 129.62° and M(x)-Ag(1)-

M(x') angles varying from 83.30 to 93.75°. The coordination geometry around Ag(2) is far less regular, with P(2)-Ag-(2)-M(A) = 108.75°, P(2)-Ag(2)-M(D) = 113.76°, P(2)-Ag(2)-M(E) = 148.99°, M(A)-Ag(2)-M(D) = 81.77°, M(D)-Ag(2)-M(E) = 89.40°, and M(E)-Ag(2)-M(A) = 94.20°.

C(72)· · ·C(96\*)

C(72)· · ·C(96)

3.37 (2)

3.14 (2)

Table V. Interatomic Angles (deg) with Esd's<sup>a</sup> for  $RhAg_2(C = CC_6F_5)_5(PPh_3)_3$ 

Atoms	Angle	Atoms	Angle	Atoms	Angle	Atoms	Angle
	(A) Angles	at Phodium			(F) (Con	tinued)	
P(3) = Rh = C(1R)	(A) Angles $933(2)$	C(1A)-Rh- $C(1R)$	91.7 (3)	C(6B)-C(5B)-F(5B)	123.6 (8)	C(6B)-C(7B)-F(7B)	121.9 (8)
P(3)-Rh- $C(1C)$	91.6 (2)	C(1A)-Rh- $C(1C)$	84.9(2)	C(6C)-C(5C)-F(5C)	121.2 (9)	C(6C)-C(7C)-F(7C)	123.8 (8)
P(3)-Rh-C(1D)	86.7 (2)	C(1A)-Rh- $C(1D)$	88.5 (3)	C(6D)-C(5D)-F(5D)	120.9 (8)	C(6D)-C(7D)-F(7D)	119.9 (7)
P(3)-Rh-C(1E)	92.0 (2)	C(1A)-Rh- $C(1E)$	91.9 (2)	C(6E)-C(5E)-F(5E)	128.6 (11)	C(6E)-C(7E)-F(7E)	126.8 (11)
P(3)-Rh-C(1A)	173.8 (2)	C(1B)-Rh- $C(1C)$	88.6 (3)	C(5A)-C(6A)-F(6A)	118.2 (9)	C(7A)-C(6A)-F(6A)	118.3 (9)
C(1B)-Rh- $C(1D)$	177.1(3)	C(1C)-Rh- $C(1D)$	94.3 (3)	C(5B)-C(6B)-F(6B)	117.5 (8)	C(7B)-C(6B)-F(6B)	119.9 (8)
C(1C)-Rh-C(1E)	175.1 (3)	C(1D)-Rh- $C(1E)$	89.3 (3)	C(5C)-C(6C)-F(6C)	121.2 (9)	C(7C)-C(6C)-F(6C)	116.4 (8)
		C(1E)-Rh- $C(1B)$	87.9 (3)	C(5D)-C(6D)-F(6D)	118.7(8) 116.2(10)	C(7E) - C(6D) - F(6D)	119.9(8)
Ag(1)-Rh-Ag(2)	110.55 (2)	P(3)-Rh-Ag(1)	126.95 (5)	C(3E)-C(0E)-F(0E)	110.5 (10)		114.7 (10)
		P(3)-Rh-Ag(2)	122.26 (5)	(F) If $C(4A) = C(3A) = C(8A)$	nterior Angle	es of $C_6F_5$ Groups	123 5 (9)
Ag(1)-Rh-C(1A)	54.3 (2)	Ag(2)-Rh-C(1A)	57.6 (2)	C(4R) - C(3R) - C(8R)	116.2(7)	C(5B)-C(6B)-C(7B)	123.5(9) 122.5(8)
Ag(1)-Rh-C(1B)	53.1 (2)	Ag(2)-Rh-C(1D)	57.7 (2)	C(4C)-C(3C)-C(8C)	115.3 (7)	C(5C)-C(6C)-C(7C)	122.4(9)
Ag(1)-Rh-C(1C)	53.6 (2)	Ag(2)-Rh-C(1E)	49.1 (2)	C(4D)-C(3D)-C(8D)	116.6 (7)	C(5D)-C(6D)-C(7D)	121.3 (8)
	(B) Angle	s at Silver		C(4E)-C(3E)-C(8E)	117.0 (8)	C(5E)-C(6E)-C(7E)	128.9 (12)
Rh-Ag(1)-P(1)	175.83 (6)	Rh-Ag(2)-P(2)	155.56 (5)	C(3A)-C(4A)-C(5A)	121.9 (7)	C(3A)-C(8A)-C(7A)	122.1 (7)
P(1) = Ag(1) = C(1A)	136 34 (15)	$P(2) = A_{\alpha}(2) = C(1A)$	120.69 (14)	C(3B)-C(4B)-C(5B)	122.2 (7)	C(3B)-C(8B)-C(7B)	122.1 (7)
P(1)-Ag(1)-C(1B)	138.63 (16)	P(2)-Ag(2)-C(1D)	124.82 (15)	C(3C)-C(4C)-C(5C)	122.5(8)	C(3C)-C(8C)-C(7C)	122.1(7)
P(1)-Ag(1)-C(1C)	143.24 (16)	P(2)-Ag(2)-C(1E)	163.47 (17)	C(3D) - C(4D) - C(5D)	121.6(7) 119.4(10)	C(3D) = C(8D) = C(7D)	122.9(0) 1236(8)
P(1) = Ag(1) = C(2A)	111.89 (14)	P(2) = A g(2) = C(2A)	98 65 (13)	C(3E) = C(4E) = C(3E)	118.9 (9)	C(5E) = C(3E) = C(7E) C(6A) = C(7A) = C(8A)	123.0(8) 1173(8)
P(1) - Ag(1) - C(2R)	112.81(15)	P(2)-Ag(2)-C(2D)	103.78 (14)	C(4R) = C(5R) = C(6R)	118.0(7)	C(6B)-C(7B)-C(8B)	118.7 (8)
P(1)-Ag(1)-C(2C)	117.00 (15)	P(2)-Ag(2)-C(2E)	135.98 (17)	C(4C)-C(5C)-C(6C)	119.5 (9)	C(6C)-C(7C)-C(8C)	118.2 (8)
$P(1) = Ag(1) = M(A)^b$	123 34	P(2) = Ag(2) = M(A)	108 75	C(4D)-C(5D)-C(6D)	118.5 (8)	C(6D)-C(7D)-C(8D)	119.0 (7)
P(1) - Ag(1) - M(B)	124.96	P(2) - Ag(2) - M(D)	113.76	C(4E)-C(5E)-C(6E)	117.2 (11)	C(6E)-C(7E)-C(8E)	113.9 (10)
P(1)-Ag(1)-M(C)	129.62	P(2)-Ag(2)-M(E)	148.99	(G) F	xterior Angle	es of C. H. Groups	
M(A)-Ag(1)-M(B)	93.75	M(A)-Ag(2)-M(D)	81.77	P(1)-C(11)-C(12)	119.6 (6)	P(1)-C(11)-C(16)	124.3 (6)
M(B)- $Ag(1)$ - $M(C)$	89.79	M(D)-Ag(2)-M(E)	89.40	P(1)-C(21)-C(22)	118.8 (5)	P(1)-C(21)-C(26)	123.6 (6)
M(C)-Ag(1)-M(A)	83.30	M(E)-Ag(2)-M(A)	94.20	P(1)-C(31)-C(32)	118.3 (6)	P(1)-C(31)-C(36)	121.6 (7)
	(C) Angles a	t Phosphorus		P(2)-C(41)-C(42)	122.7 (6)	P(2)-C(41)-C(46)	118.9 (6)
$A_{g(1)} - P(1) - C(11)$	112.1(3)	$A_{g}(2) - P(2) - C(41)$	115.2(2)	P(2)-C(51)-C(52)	117.5 (5)	P(2)-C(51)-C(56)	124.7 (6)
Ag(1)-P(1)-C(21)	114.1(2)	Ag(2)-P(2)-C(51)	111.1(2)	P(2)-C(61)-C(62)	118.9 (5)	P(2)-C(61)-C(66)	123.2 (5)
Ag(1)-P(1)-C(31)	115.1 (2)	Ag(2)-P(2)-C(61)	117.1 (2)	$P(3) = C(71) = C(72^*)$ P(3) = C(71) = C(72)	118.5(7)	$P(3) = C(71) = C(76^{\circ})$ P(3) = C(71) = C(76)	122.5(8) 117.8(9)
C(11)-P(1)-C(21)	104.9 (3)	C(41)-P(2)-C(51)	105.2 (3)	P(3) = C(71) = C(72) P(3) = C(81) = C(82)	117.3(9) 117.9(6)	P(3) = C(81) = C(86)	123.8(5)
C(21)-P(1)-C(31)	104.9 (3)	C(51)-P(2)-C(61)	103.2 (3)	$P(3)-C(91)-C(92^*)$	116.6(7)	$P(3)-C(91)-C(96^*)$	123.9 (8)
C(31)-P(1)-C(11)	104.6 (3)	C(61)-P(2)-C(41)	103.6 (3)	P(3)-C(91)-C(92)	123.4 (9)	P(3)-C(91)-C(96)	117.4 (8)
Rh-P(3)-C(71)	115.8 (2)	C(71)-P(3)-C(81)	101.2 (3)	(H) I	nterior Angle	es of C H Groups	
Rh-P(3)-C(81)	116.6 (2)	C(81)-P(3)-C(91)	101.9 (3)	C(12)-C(11)-C(16)	116.1(7)	C(13)-C(14)-C(15)	117.4 (10)
Rh-P(3)-C(91)	113.8 (2)	C(91)-P(3)-C(71)	105.7 (3)	C(22)-C(21)-C(26)	117.6 (7)	C(23)-C(24)-C(25)	120.6 (9)
(D	) Angles at A	cetylenic Carbon		C(32)-C(31)-C(36)	120.1 (8)	C(33)-C(34)-C(35)	126.5 (12)
Rh-C(1A)-C(2A)	171.2 (6)	C(1A)-C(2A)-C(3A)	169.6 (7)	C(42)-C(41)-C(46)	118.4 (7)	C(43)-C(44)-C(45)	122.6 (10)
Rh-C(1B)-C(2B)	177.4 (7)	C(1B)-C(2B)-C(3B)	174.0 (8)	C(52)-C(51)-C(56)	117.8 (7)	C(53)-C(54)-C(55)	120.4 (9)
Rh-C(1C)-C(2C)	171.3 (6)	C(1C)-C(2C)-C(3C)	174.9 (7)	C(62)-C(61)-C(66)	117.9 (7)	C(63)-C(64)-C(65)	120.8(9)
Rh-C(1D)-C(2D)	174.8 (6)	C(1D)-C(2D)-C(3D)	177.3 (9)	$C(72^{*})-C(71)-C(76^{*})$	118.0(10) 118.3(12)	C(73) = C(74) = C(75)	123.0(15) 114.0(15)
Rh-C(1E)-C(2E)	178.3 (8)	C(1E)-C(2E)-C(3E)	169.7 (8)	C(72) = C(71) = C(76) C(82) = C(81) = C(86)	118.3(12) 118.3(7)	C(83) = C(84) = C(85)	121.3(9)
Ag(1)-C(1A)-Ag(2) $Ag(1)-M(A) = Ag(2)$	160.2(3)	Ag(1) = C(2A) = Ag(2)	115.9 (2)	$C(92^*)-C(91)-C(96^*)$	119.1 (10)	$C(93^*)-C(94)-C(95^*)$	124.0 (12)
Ag(1)-M(A)-Ag(2)	157.2			C(92)-C(91)-C(96)	119.1 (11)	C(93)-C(94)-C(95)	121.9 (15)
(E) Ex	terior Angles	for C <sub>6</sub> F <sub>5</sub> Groups		C(11)-C(12)-C(13)	123.2 (8)	C(11)-C(16)-C(15)	120.8 (9)
C(2A)-C(3A)-C(4A)	120.6 (6)	C(2A)-C(3A)-C(8A)	120.8 (7)	C(21)-C(22)-C(23)	120.6 (7)	C(21)-C(26)-C(25)	121.9 (8)
C(2B)-C(3B)-C(4B)	122.4 (6)	C(2B) - C(3B) - C(8B)	121.1(6) 122.2(6)	C(31)-C(32)-C(33)	122.6 (8)	C(31)-C(36)-C(35)	118.2 (9)
C(2C)-C(3C)-C(4C)	121.4(7) 122.0(6)	C(2C) = C(3C) = C(8C)	123.3(6)	C(41)-C(42)-C(43)	119.6 (8)	C(41)-C(46)-C(45)	121.0 (8)
C(2D) = C(3D) = C(4D)	122.0(0) 120.7(8)	C(2E) - C(3E) - C(8E)	121.3(0) 122.4(7)	C(51) - C(52) - C(53)	121.0(0)	C(51) = C(56) = C(55)	121.0(8) 120.6(7)
C(3A) - C(4A) - F(4A)	120.5(6)	C(3A)-C(8A)-F(8A)	120.0(7)	C(01) = C(02) = C(03) C(71) = C(72*) = C(73*)	121.7(0) 117.7(13)	C(01) = C(00) = C(03) C(71) = C(76*) = C(75*)	120.0(7) 1227(14)
C(3B)-C(4B)-F(4B)	120.3(7)	C(3B)-C(8B)-F(8B)	120.4 (7)	C(71)-C(72)-C(73)	120.3(16)	C(71)-C(76)-C(75)	121.1(16)
C(3C)-C(4C)-F(4C)	118.6 (7)	C(3C)-C(8C)-F(8C)	118.8 (7)	C(81)-C(82)-C(83)	120.7 (8)	C(81)-C(86)-C(85)	120.8 (7)
C(3D)-C(4D)-F(4D)	120.9 (7)	C(3D)-C(8D)-F(8D)	120.4 (6)	C(91)-C(92*)-C(93*)	119.3 (13)	C(91)-C(96*)-C(95*)	123.0 (13)
C(3E)-C(4E)-F(4E)	120.6 (9)	C(3E)-C(8E)-F(8E)	120.5 (8)	C(91)-C(92)-C(93)	124.7 (15)	C(91)-C(96)-C(95)	113.3 (15)
C(5A)-C(4A)-F(4A)	117.6 (7)	C(7A)-C(8A)-F(8A)	117.9 (7)	C(12)-C(13)-C(14)	120.8 (9)	C(14)-C(15)-C(16)	121.6 (10)
C(5B)-C(4B)-F(4B)	11/.6(/) 118.8(7)	C(7B) - C(8B) - F(8B)	117.5(7) 1191(7)	C(22)-C(23)-C(24)	121.4 (8)	C(24)-C(25)-C(26)	117.8 (9)
C(5D) = C(4C) = F(4C)	117.5(7)	C(7D) = C(8D) = F(8D)	116.6 (6)	C(32)-C(33)-C(34)	114.5 (9)	C(34) = C(35) = C(36)	118.0 (11)
C(5E)-C(4E)-F(4E)	119.9 (9)	C(7E)-C(8E)-F(8E)	116.0 (8)	C(42) = C(43) = C(44) C(52) = C(53) = C(54)	1203(9)	C(54) = C(55) = C(56)	118.9 (9)
C(4A)-C(5A)-F(5A)	119.0 (8)	C(8A)-C(7A)-F(7A)	119.0 (8)	C(62) - C(63) - C(64)	119.4 (9)	C(64) - C(65) - C(66)	119.6 (8)
C(4B)-C(5B)-F(5B)	118.4 (7)	C(8B)-C(7B)-F(7B)	119.3 (7)	$C(72^*)-C(73^*)-C(74)$	115.8 (14)	C(74)-C(75*)-C(76*)	121.3 (17)
C(4C)-C(5C)-F(5C)	119.2 (8)	C(8C)-C(7C)-F(7C)	118.1 (8)	C(72)-C(73)-C(74)	115.7 (16)	C(74)-C(75)-C(76)	117.7 (18)
C(4D)-C(5D)-F(5D)	120.5 (8)	C(8D) - C(7D) - F(7D)	121.1(7)	C(82)-C(83)-C(84)	119.4 (9)	C(84)-C(85)-C(86)	119.5 (8)
C(4E) = C(5E) = F(5E)	114.1(10) 1220(0)	C(0E) = C(7A) = F(7A)	1237(9)	C(92*)-C(93*)-C(94)	116.5 (14)	C(94)-C(95*)-C(96*)	116.7 (14)
C(OA) - C(SA) - F(SA)	122.0 (9)	C(0A) - C(7A) - P(7A)	123.7 (0)	C(92)-C(93)-C(94)	119.5 (18)	C(94)-C(95)-C(96)	121.3 (16)

<sup>a</sup> See footnote a of Table IV. <sup>b</sup> M(x) is the average position of C(1x) and C(2x), i.e., the midpoint of the acetylenic bond in the C=CC<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub> ligand labeled x.

# Structure of RhAg<sub>2</sub>(C=CC<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>)<sub>5</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>

Table VI. Atomic Deviations from, and Angles between Least-Squares Planes in RhAg<sub>2</sub>(C≡CC<sub>6</sub>F<sub>3</sub>)<sub>5</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub><sup>a</sup>

			, 0			0 3/3	313	
	Atom	Dev, Å	Atom	Dev, A	Atom	Dev, Å	Atom	Dev. A
<u>.                                    </u>								
	Plane I:	-0.48080X + 0.	87369Y = 0.074	16Z = 5.4971	Plane E:	-0.61501X + 0.7	78848Y + 0.0079	3Z = 5.1761
	Rh*	0.0239 (5)	P(3)	2.363 (2)	C(4E)*	-0.016 (10)	F(4E)*	0.021 (6)
	C(1B)*	0.025 (7)	C(1D)*	0.023 (6)	C(5E)*	0.017 (11)	F(5E)*	0.004 (8)
	C(2B)	0.024 (7)	C(2D)	0.037 (7)	C(6E)*	-0.019 (10)	F(6E)*	-0.010 (8)
	C(3B)	0.165 (7)	C(3D)	0.119 (7)	C(7E)*	0.014 (10)	F(7E)*	0.010 (7)
	C(1C)*	-0.035 (6)	C(1E)*	-0.036 (6)	C(8E)*	-0.006 (9)	F(8E)*	0.011 (5)
	C(2C)	-0.224(7)	C(2E)	-0.077(7)	C(3E)*	-0.026(8)	C(2E)	-0.071(7)
	C(3C)	-0.375(7)	C(3E)	0.036 (8)	Ag(1)	-1.0626(6)	C(1E)	0.053 (6)
	$A_{\alpha}(1)$	-1.7384(6)	$\Delta \sigma(2)$	-1.7134(6)	$\Delta q(2)$	-1.8634(6)	Rh	0.2430(5)
		1.7501(0)	× • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	111151 (0)	ng(2)	1.0051(0)	Ten -	0.2+50 (5)
	Plane II:	0.02879X + 0.1	12864Y + 0.9912	27Z = 2.9113	Plane Ph1:	-0.41872X + 0	.90495 Y - 0.075	76Z = 2.1220
	Rh*	-0.0083 (5)	C(1B)*	-0.056 (7)	C(11)*	0.004 (7)	C(14)*	-0.001 (10)
	P(3)*	0.059(2)	C(2B)	-0.029(7)	C(12)*	0.014 (8)	C(15)*	0.018(11)
	C(1A)*	0.067 (6)	C(3B)	-0.048(7)	C(13)*	-0.015(9)	C(16)*	-0.019(9)
	C(2A)	0.269 (7)	C(1D)*	-0.061 (6)	P(1)	0.051(2)	$\Delta q(1)$	1 5981 (6)
	C(3A)	0.611 (6)	C(2D)	-0.202(7)	1(1)	0.001 (2)		1.0901 (0)
	$\Delta_{\alpha}(1)$	1 6 4 5 4 (6)	C(2D)	-0.355(7)	Plane Ph2:	0.83819X + 0.5	54481 <i>Y</i> - 0.02490	DZ = -1.6667
	$A_{2}(1)$	1.0434(0)	C(3D)	-0.555 (7)	C(21)*	0.001 (7)	C(24)*	-0.002 (9)
	Ag(2)	-2.0425 (0)			C(22)*	0.013 (8)	C(25)*	0.016 (10)
	Plane III:	0.88675X + 0.	45707Y - 0.068	99Z = 1.1409	C(23)*	-0.012(8)	C(26)*	-0.015(8)
	Rh*	-0.0127(5)	C(1C)*	-0.077(6)	P(1)	0.035(2)	Ag(1)	1.5517 (6)
	P(3)*	0.0127(3)	C(2C)	-0.206(7)	1(1)	0.000 (2)	19(1)	1.0017 (0)
	C(1A)*	0.075 (2)	C(2C)	-0.260(7)	Plane Ph3:	-0.28528X - 0	.20308Y + 0.936	68Z = 5.7013
	C(1A)	0.009(0)	C(JC)	-0.202(7)	C(31)*	0.001(7)	C(34)*	-0.014 (11)
	C(2A)	0.250(7)	C(1E)	-0.072(0)	C(32)*	-0.009(9)	C(35)*	0.006 (11)
	C(3A)	0.066 (6)	C(2E)	-0.072(7)	C(33)*	0.015 (10)	C(36)*	0.001 (10)
	Ag(1)	-1.8513(6)	C(3E)	-0.280 (8)	P(1)	0.036 (2)	Ag(1)	-1.8083(6)
	Ag(2)	1.5877 (6)			- (-)	01000 (2)		
	Plane IV	0.71708X + 0	$30912Y \pm 0.624$	69Z = 2.0878	Plane Ph4:	0.79605X + 0.	51916Y + 0.3110	9Z = 4.1035
	Dh*	0.0000 (5)	D(3)	-0.179(2)	C(41)*	-0.005 (7)	C(44)*	-0.006 (10)
	KII <sup>1</sup>	0.0000(3)	P(3)	-0.175(2)	C(42)*	-0.006(8)	C(45)*	-0.005 (10)
	$Ag(1)^{*}$	0.0000(6)	P(1)	-0.103(2)	C(43)*	0.012(9)	C(46)*	0.011 (9)
	Ag(2)*	0.0000 (6)	P(2)	0.000(2)	P(2)	0.011(2)	Ag(2)	-1.0492(6)
	C(1A)	0.372 (6)	C(1B)	-1.4/6 (/)	- (-)			
	C(2A)	0.769 (7)	C(1C)	1.320 (6)	Plane Ph5:	-0.30435X + 0	0.93840Y - 0.163	67 <i>Z</i> = 2.9981
	C(3A)	1.460 (6)	C(1D)	1.418 (6)	C(51)*	-0.013(7)	C(54)*	-0.013 (9)
	F(6A)	3.536 (7)	C(1E)	-1.389 (6)	C(52)*	0.018 (8)	C(55)*	0.016 (9)
		Dihadaal	Andrea Dan		C(53)*	-0.004(9)	C(56)*	-0.003(8)
		Dinedral	Angles, Deg	47 10	P(2)	-0.153(2)	Ag(2)	1.1862 (6)
	1-11 88	.57 11-111	89.09 II-IV	47.18		0.100 (2)		
	I-III 91	.25 I-IV	96.95 III-IV	42.77	Plane Ph6:	-0.40781X + 0.	01152Y + 0.9130	00Z = -1.3978
	Plane A.	-0.50566X - 0	26273Y + 0.821	76Z = 1.5430	C(61)*	-0.014 (7)	C(64)*	-0.002 (8)
	C(AA)*	-0.006 (7)	F(4A)*	0.034(5)	C(62)*	0.011 (8)	C(65)*	-0.001 (8)
	$C(4A)^*$	-0.000 (7)	F(5A)*	0.005 (6)	C(63)*	-0.003(9)	C(66)*	0.009 (8)
	C(SA)	0.013(9)	F(JA)*	0.003(0)	P(2)	-0.071(2)	Ag(2)	1,4047 (6)
	C(6A)*	-0.011 (10)	F(0A)+	-0.052(7)	- (2)	0.071(-)		
	C(7A)*	-0.002 (8)	F(7A)*	0.050 (6)	Plane Ph7*	: 0.22388X + 0	.85514Y + 0.467	57 <i>Z =</i> 6.9878
	C(8A)*	-0.019 (8)	F(8A)*	0.003 (5)	C(71)*	-0.029(7)	C(74)*	-0.054 (11)
	C(3A)*	-0.035 (6)	C(2A)	-0.142 (7)	C(72*)*	0.016 (15)	C(75*)*	0.042 (19)
	Ag(1)	2.1544 (6)	C(1A)	-0.293 (6)	C(73*)*	0.020(17)	C(76*)*	0.004(15)
	Ag(2)	-2.9173 (6)	Rh	-0.4510 (5)	P(3)	0.086(2)	Rh	-1.4104(5)
		0.40600.16		107 40070	- (5)	0.000 (2)		
	Plane B:	-0.40689X + 0	.88581Y - 0.223	312Z = 4.9079	Plane Ph7:	-0.08843X + 0	0.88070Y - 0.465	34 <i>Z</i> = 5.9739
	C(4B)*	0.011 (7)	F(4B)*	0.003 (5)	C(71)*	0.176 (7)	C(74)*	0.232(11)
	C(5B)*	0.009 (8)	F(5B)*	-0.011 (5)	C(72)*	-0.079(18)	C(75)*	-0.147(21)
	C(6B)*	0.011 (8)	F(6B)*	-0.020 (6)	C(73)*	-0.118(20)	C(76)*	-0.064(18)
	C(7B)*	-0.006 (8)	F(7B)*	0.023 (6)	P(3)	0 1 59 (2)	Rh	-1.8700(5)
	C(8B)*	0.009 (7)	F(8B)*	-0.023 (5)	- (5)	0.107 (2)		110700 (0)
	C(3B)*	-0.006 (7)	C(2B)	-0.027 (7)	Plane Ph8:	-0.66114X - 0	.23226Y + 0.713	41Z = 1.2811
	Ag(1)	-1.9014(6)	C(1B)	0.080(7)	C(81)*	-0.001(7)	C(84)*	0.003 (9)
	Ag(2)	-1.0543(6)	Rh	0.2395 (5)	C(82)*	0.006(8)	C(85)*	0.003 (8)
					C(83)*	-0.007(10)	C(86)*	-0.004(7)
	Plane C:	0.76979X + 0.	63819Y + 0.011	68Z = 2.6287	D(3)	0.021(2)	Rh	-0.004(7)
	C(4C)*	-0.009 (8)	F(4C)*	-0.011 (5)	$\Lambda_{\alpha}(1)$	24121(6)	$\Delta q(2)$	-2.6415(5)
	C(5C)*	0.028 (9)	F(5C)*	0.004 (7)	Ag(1)	2.4121 (0)	$A_{\rm g}(2)$	~2.0415 (0)
	C(6C)*	0.012(9)	F(6C)*	-0.029 (6)	Plane Ph9*	-0.65396X +	0.72399Y + 0.21	948 <i>Z</i> = 7.7795
	C(7C)*	0.006(9)	F(7C)*	0.003 (6)	C(91)*	0.036 (7)	C(94)*	0.074(10)
	C(8C)*	0.000 (8)	F(8C)*	-0.003(5)	C(92*)*	-0.001(14)	C(95*)*	-0.039(17)
	C(3C)*	-0.002(7)	C(2C)	-0.052(7)	C(93*)*	-0.051(17)	C(96*)*	-0.020(14)
	$\Delta \sigma(1)$	-2.1162(6)	C(1C)	0.002(6)	D(2)	-0.052(2)	Rh	-2.1971(5)
	$\Delta_{q}(2)$	0 2062 (6)	Rh	-0 1158 (5)	1(3)	-0.052(2)	1.11	-2.17/1 (3)
	ng(2)	0.0705(0)	111	0.1100 (5)	Plane Ph9	: 0.07878X + 0.07878X	.91090Y + 0.4050	03Z = 7.7199
	Plane D:	-0.46175X + 0	.88131Y + 0.100	43Z = 5.9787	C(91)*	0.023 (7)	C(94)*	0.010 (10)
	C(4D)*	-0.000 (8)	F(4D)*	0.007 (6)	C(92)*	-0.026(17)	C(95)*	-0.011(20)
	C(5D)*	0.001(9)	F(5D)*	-0.028(7)	C(93)*	0.009 (20)	C(96)*	
	C(6D)*	0 029 (9)	F(6D)*	0.004 (6)	D(2)	0.002 (20)	D.	
	C(7D)*	0.015 (8)	F(7D)*	-0.017 (5)	r(3)	0.022 (2)	<b>K</b> II	-1.1491 (3)
	C(PD)*		E(2D)*	-0.017 (3)		Dihedral Ar	igles. Deg	
					Ph7*_Ph7	58.95	PhQ*_Dh0	45.82
	C(3D)*	0.003 (7)	C(2D)	-0.058 (7)		00.70	119 -1119	10.02
	Ag(1)	-1.5003 (6)	C(1D)	-0.053 (6)				
	Ag(2)	-2.1036 (6)	Rh	-0.0528 (5)				

<sup>a</sup> Equations of planes are expressed in the orthonormal (Å) coordinate system given by  $X = xa + zc \cos \beta$ , Y = yb, and  $Z = zc \sin \beta$ . Atoms marked by an asterisk were assigned unit weight; all others were given zero weight. Estimated standard deviations for atom-to-plane distances are approximated by  $[(a^2\sigma^2(x) + b^2\sigma^2(y) + c^2\sigma^2(z))/3]^{1/2}$  for the atom involved.

System	Angle, <sup>a</sup> deg		System	Angle, <sup>a</sup> deg				
Rh-C(1A)-C(2A)-C(3A) Rh-C(1B)-C(2B)-C(3B) Rh-C(1C)-C(2C)-C(3C)	+32.13 -112.66 -158.79	Rh-C(1D)-C(2D)-C(3D) Rh-C(1E)-C(2E)-C(3E)		-94.87 +149.56				
В	. Resolved Deviations of	f Atoms from $C(1x)$	-C(2x) Line					
	∥ dev <sup>b</sup>	•	1 c	levb				
Defining plane	C(3x)	Rh	C(3x)	Rh				
Ag(1)-C(1A)-C(2A)	+0.107 Å	-0.042 Å	+0.234 Å	+0.309 Å				
	(4.33°)	(1.18°)	(9.43°)	(8.70°)				
Ag(1)-C(1B)-C(2B)	+0.140 Å	-0.067 Å	+0.062 Å	+0.063 Å				
	(5.50°)	(1.92°)	(2.43°)	(1.81°)				
Ag(1)-C(1C)-C(2C)	+0.128 Å	-0.297 Å	+0.013 Å	+0.082 Å				
	(5.07°)	(8.41°)	(0.52°)	(2.31°)				
Ag(2) - C(1A) - C(2A)	-0.054 Å	+0.106 A	+0.252 A	+0.293 A				
	(2.21°)	(3.02°)	(10.13°)	(8.25°)				
Ag(2)-C(1D)-C(2D)	+0.054 A	-0.121 Å	+0.040 Å	+0.139 A				
	(2.14°)	(3.46°)	(1.57°)	(3.95°)				
Ag(2)-C(1E)-C(2E)	+0.259 A	-0.047 Å	-0.034 A	+0.037 Å				
	(10.21°)	(1.34°)	(1.34°)	(1.05°)				

A. Torsion about C(1x)-C(2x) Bonds

<sup>a</sup> Angles are defined looking down C=C from Rh toward C(3x) and defining a counterclockwise (right-handed) rotation from Rh to C(3x) as positive. <sup>b</sup> Values below are the deviations of atoms from the C(1x)-C(2x) line resolved into components parallel with and perpendicular to the appropriate Ag(1 or 2)-C(1x)-C(2x) plane. The sign conventions are as follows: for "parallel deviations" a positive sign indicates displacement away from the appropriate silver atom; for "perpendicular deviations" the deviation of the rhodium atom is arbitrarily assigned a positive sign.

It should be noted that the acetylenic grouping labeled "A" is the only one which is coordinated to each of the two silver atoms; the angle at this acetylene,  $\angle Ag(1)-M(A)-Ag(2)$ , is 137.2°.

Simultaneous metal-alkynyl  $\sigma$  bonding and alkynyl $\rightarrow$  metal'  $\pi$  bonding are not a common phenomenon, but they have also been shown to occur in such species as  $[(PhC \equiv C)Ag-(PMe_3)]_{\infty}$ ,<sup>11</sup>  $[(PhC \equiv C)Cu(PMe_3)]_{4}$ ,<sup>21</sup>  $[PhC \equiv CCu]_{\infty}$ ,<sup>22</sup>  $[(\pi-C_5H_5)Fe(CO)_2(C \equiv CPh)CuCl]_{2}$ ,<sup>23,24</sup> and  $Ir_2Cu_4-(PPh_3)_2(C \equiv CPh)_{8}$ ,<sup>1.2</sup>

The acetylenic linkages,  $C(1x) \equiv C(2x)$ , range from 1.196 (8) to 1.225 (8) Å, the average value being 1.210 Å [ $\sigma(ext)$ = 0.012 Å,  $\sigma(\text{aver}) = 0.005$  Å]. This value is not significantly different from the accepted distance of  $1.202 \pm 0.005 \text{ Å}^{25}$  for a free (i.e., noncoordinated) acetylenic linkage. Each of the acetylenic skeletons (defined by Rh-C(1x)-C(2x)-C(3x)) is distorted slightly from linearity; Rh-C(1x)-C(2x) angles range from 171.2 (6) to 178.3 (8)°, whereas C(1x)-C(2x)-C(3x)angles vary from 169.6 (7) to 177.3 (9)°. The bending is, in each case, fairly small and torsional angles in the Rh-C-(1x)-C(2x)-C(3x) systems vary widely (see Table VII). To a first approximation, however, the system Rh-C(1A)-C-(2A)-C(3A) is a cis-bent system (observed torsional angle  $+32.13^{\circ}$ , idealized cis-bent angle  $0^{\circ}$ ) while the other four systems have a slightly "trans-bent" geometry (idealized torsional angle 180°, observed values being -94.87, -112.66, +149.56, and -158.79°). Table VIIB shows the bending of substituents on the acetylenic fragments broken down into components in the plane of the silver-acetylene interaction (" dev") and perpendicular to this plane (" $\perp$  dev"). It is interesting to note that in no case does the silver-acetylene interaction force a cis bending of the acetylene within the Ag-C(1x)-C(2x) plane. This result is contrary to all previous studies on species with isolated metal-acetylene interactions<sup>26</sup> and suggests that the observed torsional conformations of the Rh-C(1x)-C(2x)-C(3x) moieties are probably sterically, rather than electronically, enforced.

The C(2x)-C(3x) linkages range from 1.430 (9) to 1.460 (9) Å, averaging 1.449 Å ( $\sigma(ext) = 0.013$  Å,  $\sigma(aver) = 0.006$  Å). This value is in good agreement with, but is more accurate than, the documented C(sp)-C(sp<sup>2</sup>) single-bond distance of 1.45 ± 0.02 Å.<sup>25</sup>

As may be seen in Table VI, each of the C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub> systems is approximately planar, maximum deviations of atoms from their appropriate least-squares plane being 0.050 Å for an atom in plane A, 0.023 Å for plane B, 0.029 Å for plane C, 0.028 Å for plane D, and 0.026 Å for plane E. Both carbon-carbon and carbon-fluorine distances appear to show systematic fluctuations as a function of their position within the C6F5 system. Thus, average carbon-carbon distances are C(3x)- $C(ortho) = 1.372 \text{ Å} (\sigma(ext) = 0.015 \text{ Å}, \sigma(aver) = 0.005 \text{ Å}),$ C(ortho)–C(meta) = 1.410 Å ( $\sigma(\text{ext}) = 0.025$  Å,  $\sigma(\text{aver}) =$ 0.008 Å), and C(meta)–C(para) = 1.327 Å ( $\sigma(\text{ext}) = 0.028$ Å,  $\sigma(aver) = 0.009$  Å). We thus have C(ortho)-C(meta) > C(3x)-C(ortho) > C(meta)-C(para) by successive increments of 0.038 (9) and 0.045 (10) Å-differences that are, in each case, statistically significant. Similarly, average carbonfluorine distances are C–F(ortho) = 1.332 Å ( $\sigma(\text{ext}) = 0.009$ Å,  $\sigma(\text{aver}) = 0.003$  Å), C–F(meta) = 1.338 Å ( $\sigma(\text{ext}) = 0.019$ Å,  $\sigma(\text{aver}) = 0.006$  Å), and C-F(para) = 1.374 Å ( $\sigma(\text{ext}) =$  $0.013 \text{ Å}, \sigma(\text{aver}) = 0.006 \text{ Å}).$ 

Since the longest C-C and C-F bonds are coparallel and are along the major axes of the (almost linear) Rh—C $\equiv$ C—C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub> systems, it is probable that these variations appear as a result of rigid-body motion of the C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub> groups. [See, also, the shapes of the thermal ellipsoids of the fluorine atoms in Figures 2 and 3.]

External angles for the C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub> rings are collected in section E of Table V, while interior angles are in section F. Average interior angles are 116.3° at C(3x), 122.0° at C(ortho), 117.9° at C(meta), and 123.7° at C(para).

The triphenylphosphine groups have the expected geometry although there is disorder of two phenyl groups attached to P(3) [see Figure 2]. P-C distances range from 1.793 (8) to 1.832 (7) Å, averaging 1.814 Å ( $\sigma(\text{ext}) = 0.012$  Å,  $\sigma(\text{aver}) = 0.004$  Å), and the angles about phosphorus show the characteristic distortion from  $T_d$  toward  $C_{3\nu}$  symmetry,<sup>27</sup> with (Ag or Rh)-P-C = 111.1 (2)-117.1 (2)° and C-P-C = 101.2 (3)-105.7°.

Average distances within the phenyl rings (excluding any contributions from the disordered phenyl groups) are as follows: C(P bonded)–C(ortho) = 1.380 Å ( $\sigma(ext) = 0.015$  Å,  $\sigma(aver) = 0.004$  Å), C(ortho)–C(meta) = 1.398 Å ( $\sigma(ext) = 0.017$  Å,  $\sigma(aver) = 0.005$  Å), and C(meta)–C(para) = 1.358

Table VII

Å ( $\sigma(\text{ext}) = 0.023$  Å,  $\sigma(\text{aver}) = 0.006$  Å). The order C-(ortho)-C(meta) > C(P bonded)-C(ortho) > C(meta)-C-(para) is precisely that found in the C6F5 groups (vide supra) and, again, can be explained as a systematic error induced by thermal motion of the phenyl groups. [This result, incidentally, provides excellent evidence that the treatment of phenyl groups as systems having precise  $D_{6h}$  symmetry is not the ideal description for the X-ray diffraction experiment.]

### Intermolecular Contacts

There are no extraordinarily short intermolecular contacts, the molecules being separated by normal van der Waals distances. Shortest intermolecular contacts of each type, excluding those involving hydrogen atoms, are F(4A)...F(6E), n = 2.789 (8) Å, F(7A)...C(8E,  $\overline{1}$ ) = 3.017 (10) Å, and  $C(6D) \cdots C(95, \bar{1}) = 3.208 (22) \text{ Å}.$ 

Acknowledgment. We thank Professor M. I. Bruce for providing the sample. This work was supported by National Science Foundation Grant No GP-42724X. Computing services were provided by the Computer Center of the University of Illinois at Chicago Circle on an IBM 370/158 computer; their assistance is gratefully acknowledged.

Registry No. RhAg2(C=CC6F5)5(PPh3)3, 54873-31-3.

Supplementary Material Available. A listing of structure factor amplitudes will appear following these pages in the microfilm edition of this volume of the journal. Photocopies of the supplementary material from this paper only or microfiche (105  $\times$  148 mm, 24 $\times$ reducton negatives) containing all of the supplementary material for the papers in this issue may be obtained from the Business Office, Books and Journals Division, American Chemical Society, 1155 16th St., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036. Remit check or money order for \$4.50 for photocopy of \$2.50 for microfiche, referring to code number AIC503935-[1-75.

#### **References and Notes**

- (1) O. M. Abu Salah, M. I. Bruce, M. R. Churchill, and S. A. Bezman,
- J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 858 (1972).
   M. R. Churchill and S. A. Bezman, Inorg. Chem., 13, 1418 (1974).
   O. M. Abu Salah, M. I. Bruce, M. R. Churchill, and B. G. DeBoer, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 688 (1974).
- (4) M. R. Churchill and B. G. DeBoer, Inorg. Chem., 12, 525 (1973).
   (5) D. T. Cromer and J. T. Waber, Acta Crystallogr., 18, 104 (1965).
- (6) R. F. Stewart, E. R. Davidson, and W. T. Simpson, J. Chem. Phys., 42,
- 3175 (1965).
- (7) D. T. Cromer and D. Liberman, J. Chem. Phys., 53, 1891 (1970).
- D. I. Cromer and D. Liberman, J. Chem. Phys., 53, 1891 (1970).
   M. R. Churchill, Inorg. Chem., 12, 1213 (1973).
   "International Tables for X-Ray Crystallography", Vol. 3, Kynoch Press, Birmingham, England, 1962, Table 4.3, pp 278-285.
   L. Pauling, "The Nature of the Chemical Bond", 3rd ed, Cornell University Press, Ithaca, N.Y., 1960, Table 7-13, p 246.
   P. W. R. Corfield and H. M. M. Shearer, Acta Crystallogr., 20, 502 (1966)
- (1966).
- (12) F. J. Hollander and D. Coucouvanis, Inorg. Chem., 13, 2381 (1974).
- B. K. Teo and J. C. Calabrese, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 97, 1256 (1975).
   M. R. Churchill and B. G. DeBoer, Inorg. Chem., 14, 2502 (1975).
- (15) J. H. Meiners, J. C. Clardy, and J. G. Verkade, Inorg. Chem., 14, 632 (1975)
- (16) See Ref 10, Table 7-15, p 249.
- (17) P. G. H. Troughton and A. C. Skapski, Chem. Commun., 575 (1968).
- (18) K. W. Muir and J. A. Ibers, Inorg. Chem., 9, 440 (1970).
- (19) Chem. Soc., Spec. Publ., No. 18, S14s-S15s (1965). This value is obtained by subtracting half of the C(sp<sup>3</sup>)-C(sp<sup>3</sup>) bond distance of 1.537 ± 0.005 Å from the recognized C(sp<sup>3</sup>)-C(sp) distance of 1.459 ± 0.005 Å.
  (20) M(A) is the midpoint of the acetylenic bond C(1A)-C(2A): M(B) etc.
- are the midpoints of the other alkynyl linkages, while M(x) represents (21) P. W. R. Corfield and H. M. M. Shearer, *Acta Crystallogr.*, 21, 957
- (1966).
- (22) P. W. R. Corfield and H. M. M Shearer, quoted by M. L. H. Green, "Organometallic Compounds", Vol. 2, Methuen, London, 1968, p 275.
- (23) M. I. Bruce, R. Clark, J. Howard, and P. Woodward, J. Organomet. Chem., 42, C107 (1972)
- (24) R. Clark, J. Howard, and P. Woodward, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 2027 (1974).
- (25) See ref 22, p S15s-S16s.
- (26) Structural data for acetylene complexes of transition metals have been summarized in Table VIII of B. W. Davies and N. C. Payne, Inorg. Chem., 13, 1848 (1974).
- M. R. Churchill and T. A. O'Brien, J. Chem. Soc. A, 2970 (1968); see (27)Table 7.
  - Contribution No. 3483 from the Department of Chemistry, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024

# Crystal and Molecular Structure of Dibenzotellurophene, C12H8Te

### J. D. McCULLOUGH

# Received May 16, 1975

The structure of dibenzotellurophene, C12H8Te, has been determined by use of data collected on an automated diffractometer with monochromatized Mo K $\alpha$  radiation. The compound crystallizes in the orthorhombic space group  $P_{212121}$  with unit cell dimensions a = 12.621 (7) Å, b = 16.192 (7) Å, c = 4.637 (2) Å, and V = 947.6 (8) Å<sup>3</sup>. The density of 1.962 (2) g cm<sup>-3</sup> calculated on the basis of four molecules per unit cell agrees well with the flotation value of 1.95 (2) g cm<sup>-3</sup>. The structure was solved by the heavy-atom method and refined by full-matrix least-squares methods to a conventional R index of 2.9% based on 1210 observed reflections. The dibenzotellurophene molecule is slightly folded, with dihedral angles of 1.4 (2) and 0.6 (2)° between the five-membered and the six-membered rings. The observed Te-C bond distances are 2.084 (5) and 2.089 (5) Å (average 2.087 Å) and the C-Te-C bond angle is 81.7 (2)°. The intra-ring bond distances and angles in the six-membered rings are close to those observed in dibenzoselenophene, dibenzothiophene, and dibenzofuran. Trends in the geometry of the five-membered rings in the four dibenzochalcophenes are noted.

### Introduction

The crystal and molecular structures of dibenzoselenophene,1 dibenzothiophene,2 and dibenzofuran3 have been reported. The present study of the structure of dibenzotellurophene was undertaken primarily as an interesting extension of that series. A second objective was a comparison of the structure of the uncombined dibenzotellurophene molecule with that of the dibenzotellurophene moiety in the diiodide.4

### **Experimental Section**

Crystals of dibenzotellurophene were prepared as described earlier.5 These were pale yellow needles elongated on the crystallographic c axis with principal faces belonging to the forms [120] and [100]. A preliminary study of two crystals by Weissenberg and precession methods showed orthorhombic symmetry with systematic absences of h00 with h odd, 0k0 with k odd, and 00l with l odd. The space group was thus indicated to be P212121. A crystal fragment bounded prismatically by six faces of the forms indicated above and terminated by fractures approximating faces of the form {001} was selected for data collection. The dimensions were 0.25 mm between (120) and (120), 0.25 mm between (120) and (120), 0.30 mm between (100) and  $(\overline{100})$ , and 0.35 mm between the approximate (001) and  $(00\overline{1})$ surfaces. The crystal was mounted with the normal to (120) approximately along the  $\phi$  axis of a four-circle computer-controlled diffractometer (Syntex PI autodiffractometer) equipped with a scintillation counter and a graphite monochromator. The automatic

### AIC50341F